

## **HOUSE BILL No. 4071**

January 11, 1995, Introduced by Reps. McNutt, Dalman, Horton, Rocca, Oxender, DeLange, Bullard and Perricone and referred to the Committee on Judiciary and Civil Rights.

A bill to amend chapter VIII of Act No. 175 of the Public Acts of 1927, entitled as amended
"The code of criminal procedure,"
as amended, being sections 768.1 to 768.36 of the Michigan
Compiled Laws, by adding section 27a.

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

Section 1. Chapter VIII of Act No. 175 of the Public Acts 2 of 1927, as amended, being sections 768.1 to 768.36 of the 3 Michigan Compiled Laws, is amended by adding section 27a to read 4 as follows:

5 CHAPTER VIII

6 SEC. 27A. (1) A COURT SHALL NOT EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT IS
7 OTHERWISE ADMISSIBLE IN A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING ON THE BASIS THAT
8 THE EVIDENCE WAS OBTAINED AS A RESULT OF AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL

02057'95 JOJ

9 SEARCH OR SEIZURE IF THE COURT DETERMINES THAT THE SEARCH OR

- 1 SEIZURE WAS CARRIED OUT UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH THE PEACE
- 2 OFFICER ACTED WITH AN OBJECTIVELY REASONABLE GOOD FAITH BELIEF
- 3 THAT HIS OR HER CONDUCT WAS LAWFUL AND CONSTITUTIONALLY
- 4 PERMISSIBLE. CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH A PEACE OFFICER ACTED WITH
- 5 AN OBJECTIVELY REASONABLE GOOD FAITH BELIEF THAT HIS OR HER CON-
- 6 DUCT WAS LAWFUL AND CONSTITUTIONALLY PERMISSIBLE INCLUDE, BUT ARE
- 7 NOT LIMITED TO, THE FOLLOWING:
- 8 (A) OBTAINING EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO A SEARCH WARRANT OR AN
- 9 ARREST WARRANT OBTAINED FROM A NEUTRAL AND DETACHED MAGISTRATE
- 10 THAT THE PEACE OFFICER REASONABLY BELIEVES TO BE VALID.
- 11 (B) OBTAINING EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO A WARRANTLESS SEARCH
- 12 INCIDENT TO AN ARREST FOR VIOLATION OF A STATUTE OR ORDINANCE
- 13 THAT IS LATER DECLARED UNCONSTITUTIONAL OR OTHERWISE
- 14 INVALIDATED.
- 15 (C) OBTAINING EVIDENCE IN RELIANCE UPON A COURT PRECEDENT
- 16 THAT IS LATER OVERRULED.
- 17 (2) A SHOWING THAT A PEACE OFFICER OBTAINED EVIDENCE PURSU-
- 18 ANT TO AND WITHIN THE SCOPE OF A SEARCH WARRANT CONSTITUTES PRIMA
- 19 FACIE EVIDENCE THAT THE PEACE OFFICER ACTED WITH AN OBJECTIVELY
- 20 REASONABLE GOOD FAITH BELIEF THAT HIS OR HER CONDUCT WAS LAWFUL
- 21 AND CONSTITUTIONALLY PERMISSIBLE.
- 22 (3) A COURT SHALL NOT EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT IS OTHERWISE
- 23 ADMISSIBLE IN A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING ON THE BASIS THAT THE EVI-
- 24 DENCE WAS OBTAINED IN VIOLATION OF A STATUTE, ORDINANCE, OR RULE
- 25 UNLESS THE COURT FINDS | OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING:

- (A) THAT THE STATUTE, ORDINANCE, OR RULE EXPRESSLY 2 AUTHORIZES EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE AS A SANCTION FOR ITS 3 VIOLATION.
- 4 (B) THAT THE VIOLATION WAS DELIBERATE AND WITHOUT 5 JUSTIFICATION.
- 6 (C) THAT THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD THAT THE RELI7 ABILITY OF THE EVIDENCE HAS BEEN MATERIALLY AFFECTED BY THE
  8 VIOLATION.
- 9 (D) THAT THE EXCLUSION OF THE EVIDENCE IS REQUIRED UNDER THE 10 CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OR THIS STATE.
- (4) A STATUTE, ORDINANCE, OR RULE SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO 12 REQUIRE OR AUTHORIZE EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE IN A CRIMINAL PROCEED13 ING UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH THE EVIDENCE WOULD BE ADMISSIBLE 14 IN A FEDERAL COURT.
- 15 (5) THIS SECTION DOES NOT REQUIRE OR AUTHORIZE THE EXCLUSION
  16 OF EVIDENCE IN ANY CRIMINAL PROCEEDING.