
Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

SFA**BILL ANALYSIS**

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986
TDD: (517) 373-0543

House Bill 4543 (Substitute S-2 as reported)
Sponsor: Representative Raymond Basham
House Committee: Conservation and Outdoor Recreation
Senate Committee: Hunting, Fishing and Forestry

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Michigan Penal Code to prohibit an individual licensed to carry a concealed pistol from carrying a pistol on the premises of a school building, unless authorized by the principal or superintendent; a church, synagogue, mosque, or other place of religious worship, unless authorized by the local church leader; or a day care center, unless authorized by the day care operator.

A person who violated this provision would be guilty of a trespass. For a first violation involving a place of religious worship or a day care center, the person would be responsible for a civil infraction fine of up to \$100 for a first violation. For a first violation involving a school building, or a second violation involving a place of religious worship or a day care center, the person would be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for up to 93 days and/or a fine of up to \$500; in addition, the court would have to order the concealed weapon licensing board that issued the license to suspend it for one year.

The bill would take effect September 30, 1999, and is tie-barred to House Bill 4530, which among other things, would revise application requirements for applying for a license to carry a concealed pistol, and require a concealed pistol licensing board to issue a license if the requirements were met.

MCL 750.552

Legislative Analyst: N. Nagata

FISCAL IMPACT

House Bill 4543 (S-2) would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on local government.

There are no data available to indicate how many people are convicted of trespassing, and therefore there are no data to indicate how many more individuals could be convicted of carrying a concealed pistol onto a prohibited premises. Penalties resulting from a violation of this section would affect local units of government, which would incur costs of incarceration or receive fine revenue.

Date Completed: 5-27-99

Fiscal Analyst: K. Firestone