SENATE BILL NO. 675

June 17, 1999, Introduced by Senator BYRUM and referred to the Committee on Health Policy.

A bill to amend 1956 PA 218, entitled

"The insurance code of 1956,"

(MCL 500.100 to 500.8302) by adding section 2212b.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 SEC. 2212B. (1) AS USED IN THIS SECTION:
- 2 (A) "UTILIZATION REVIEW" MEANS A SYSTEM FOR PROSPECTIVE AND
- 3 CONCURRENT REVIEW OF THE MEDICAL NECESSITY AND APPROPRIATENESS IN
- 4 THE ALLOCATION OF HEALTH CARE RESOURCES AND SERVICES GIVEN OR
- 5 PROPOSED TO BE GIVEN TO AN INSURED UNDER A POLICY OR CERTIFICATE
- 6 ISSUED UNDER SECTION 3405 OR 3631. UTILIZATION REVIEW DOES NOT
- 7 INCLUDE ELECTIVE REQUESTS FOR CLARIFICATION OF COVERAGE.
- 8 (B) "UTILIZATION REVIEW ACCREDITATION COMMISSION" MEANS THE
- 9 AMERICAN ACCREDITATION HEALTHCARE COMMISSION/UTILIZATION REVIEW
- 10 ACCREDITATION COMMISSION.

03114'99 DKH

- 1 (2) THIS SECTION APPLIES TO A POLICY OR CERTIFICATE ISSUED
- 2 UNDER SECTION 3405 OR 3631.
- 3 (3) AN INSURER SHALL ESTABLISH A POLICY GOVERNING TERMINA-
- 4 TION OF HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS. THE POLICY SHALL INCLUDE, BUT IS
- 5 NOT LIMITED TO, ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:
- 6 (A) NOTICE TO THE PROVIDER OF THE TERMINATION IN THE TIME
- 7 AND MANNER SPECIFIED IN THE PROVIDER'S CONTRACT.
- 8 (B) METHODS BY WHICH THE TERMINATION POLICY WILL BE MADE
- 9 KNOWN TO PROVIDERS AND INSUREDS AT THE TIME OF ENROLLMENT AND ON
- 10 A PERIODIC BASIS.
- 11 (C) WRITTEN NOTIFICATION TO EACH INSURED AT LEAST 30 BUSI-
- 12 NESS DAYS PRIOR TO THE TERMINATION OR WITHDRAWAL FROM THE
- 13 INSURER'S PROVIDER NETWORK OF AN INSURED'S PRIMARY CARE PROVIDER
- 14 AND ANY OTHER PROVIDER FROM WHICH THE INSURED IS CURRENTLY
- 15 RECEIVING A COURSE OF TREATMENT. THE 30-DAY PRIOR NOTICE TO
- 16 INSUREDS MAY BE WAIVED IN CASES OF IMMEDIATE TERMINATION OF A
- 17 PROVIDER WHERE IT WAS NECESSARY FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE HEALTH,
- 18 SAFETY, AND WELFARE OF INSUREDS.
- 19 (4) AN INSURER SHALL NOT TERMINATE A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER'S
- 20 PARTICIPATION WITH THE INSURER BECAUSE OF THE UTILIZATION OF
- 21 SERVICES CAUSED BY 1 OR MORE HIGH UTILIZATION INSUREDS.
- 22 (5) AN INSURER THAT WISHES TO PERFORM UTILIZATION REVIEW
- 23 IN-HOUSE SHALL DO SO ONLY UNDER EITHER OF THE FOLLOWING
- 24 CIRCUMSTANCES:
- 25 (A) IF THE UTILIZATION REVIEW STANDARDS TO BE USED HAVE BEEN
- 26 APPROVED OR ACCREDITED BY THE UTILIZATION REVIEW ACCREDITATION
- **27** COMMISSION.

- 1 (B) THE PLAN HAS DEMONSTRATED TO THE COMMISSIONER THAT IT
- 2 ADHERES TO UTILIZATION REVIEW STANDARDS THAT ARE SUBSTANTIALLY
- 3 SIMILAR TO STANDARDS APPROVED OR ACCREDITED BY THE UTILIZATION
- 4 REVIEW ACCREDITATION COMMISSION AND THE STANDARDS PROVIDE THE
- 5 SAME OR GREATER PROTECTION TO THE RIGHTS OF INSUREDS WHOSE CARE
- 6 IS REVIEWED.
- 7 (6) AN INSURER SHALL ONLY CONTRACT WITH A UTILIZATION REVIEW
- 8 COMPANY FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF UTILIZATION REVIEW SERVICES IF THE
- 9 UTILIZATION REVIEW COMPANY SHOWS EITHER OF THE FOLLOWING:
- 10 (A) THE UTILIZATION REVIEW COMPANY HAS BEEN APPROVED OR
- 11 ACCREDITED BY THE UTILIZATION REVIEW ACCREDITATION COMMISSION.
- 12 (B) THE UTILIZATION REVIEW COMPANY HAS DEMONSTRATED TO THE
- 13 COMMISSIONER THAT IT ADHERES TO UTILIZATION REVIEW STANDARDS THAT
- 14 ARE SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR TO STANDARDS APPROVED OR ACCREDITED BY
- 15 THE UTILIZATION REVIEW ACCREDITATION COMMISSION AND THE STANDARDS
- 16 PROVIDE THE SAME OR GREATER PROTECTION TO THE RIGHTS OF INSUREDS
- 17 WHOSE CARE IS REVIEWED.
- 18 Enacting section 1. This amendatory act takes effect
- **19** January 1, 2000.