



**House
Legislative
Analysis
Section**

House Office Building, 9 South
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Phone: 517/373-6466

**RAILROAD CROSSING; DIAGNOSTIC
REVIEW**

**House Bill 5134 (Substitute H-2)
First Analysis (12-6-01)**

**Sponsor: Rep. Paul Gieleghem
Committee: Transportation**

THE APPARENT PROBLEM:

The Department of Transportation rail grade crossing program is administered by the Freight Services and Safety Division, a component of the department's Bureau of Urban and Public Transportation. There, safety inspectors attend to the 5,360 public at grade crossings in the state (2,360 of which have warning devices, including 934 with gates).

Currently the Michigan Vehicle Code requires that department rail safety inspectors perform a diagnostic team review (commonly called a DTR) within 120 days whenever a traffic fatality occurs at a crossing, if the fatality occurs in any city with a population of 60,000 or more. Over the last seven years, there have been an average of 118 grade crossing traffic incidents annually, including an average of 14 fatalities each year.

The requirement to conduct fatality-related DTRs at the grade crossings in the 19 cities having more than 60,000 people was added to the vehicle code by Public Act 367 of 2000 (Senate Bill 859). When the department personnel perform the team reviews of local crossings, they sometimes recommend a traffic control order, based on the result of the review. Those orders are based on engineering standards and criteria, and can be legally enforced against either the railroad or the road agency, or both. The orders can compel the railroad and the road agency to construct a safety enhancement at the crossing—such as lights and gates—and to share the costs.

In Clinton Township located within Macomb County, two fatal accidents have occurred at one hazardous local grade crossing in the last two years. Although Clinton Township is one of five townships in the state having a population of 60,000 people or more (indeed, the township has 95,000 people), no DTR is conducted when grade crossing fatalities occur in townships, outside the city limits.

In order to require that diagnostic team reviews be undertaken when fatalities occur at local grade

crossings located in the five townships of Michigan having 60,000 or more people—including Clinton, Canton, Shelby, Waterford, and West Bloomfield—legislation has been proposed.

THE CONTENT OF THE BILL:

House Bill 5134 would amend the Michigan Vehicle Code to specify that if there were a fatality at a railroad grade crossing in a city, village, or township with a population of 60,000 or more, then the Department of Transportation would be required to undertake a diagnostic review within 120 days, if there had not been a diagnostic review at the crossing in the previous two years. Currently under the law the diagnostic review is required only when the fatality occurs in a city having 60,000 or more people.

MCL 257.667a

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

The House Fiscal Agency notes that the Rail Safety Section of the Freight Services and Safety Division within the Bureau of Urban and Public Transportation of the Department of Transportation conducts 80 diagnostic team reviews (commonly referred to as DTRs) each year. The program is funded by a \$1.8 million appropriation from the Michigan Transportation Fund, and is part of a comprehensive program which inspects all grade crossings in the state (on both trunklines and local roads) once every two years.

The agency notes that it is likely the bill would increase the number of DTRs the department performs, since there are five townships with a population of 60,000 or more (in addition to the 19 cities having a population of 60,000 or more) whose grade crossing fatalities would require review under the bill. The bill would have no apparent fiscal impact on state or local government. However, to the

House Bill 5134 (12-6-01)

extent that the bill required the department to perform additional DTRs, the cost would come from a reallocation of existing department resources, likely by postponing DTRs scheduled for other rail crossings. (12-4-01)

ARGUMENTS:

For:

Railroad crossings sometimes have design flaws that cause tragic accidents and that can be corrected through reconstruction. These impediments can be detected in diagnostic reviews, undertaken by knowledgeable teams of inspectors, and the necessary improvements can be made. Currently Michigan's diagnostic team reviews, or DTRs, are targeted at grade crossing fatalities that occur in cities having 60,000 or more people. Townships having at least this many people also should be eligible for a DTR, if a fatality happens outside the city limits at a railroad crossing located in the township. This legislation would require a diagnostic review of grade crossing fatalities in five townships—Clinton, Canton, Shelby, Waterford, and West Bloomfield—so that necessary improvements could be made in order to ensure the safety of travelers.

Against:

This legislation should be amended so that rail crossing fatalities in high population counties also prompt an automatic diagnostic review. Indeed, the rail crossing fatalities in *all* of the state's 83 counties are deserving of investigation by the diagnostic review team. If the program's limited resources require that problem crossings be prioritized, then care should be taken to direct diagnostic attention to those sites posing the greatest threat to the highest *proportion* of residents, rather than to those posing a threat to the highest number of residents. That way, the most dangerous crossings can be gated, regardless of the county's population.

POSITIONS:

The County Road Association of Michigan supports the bill. (12-5-01)

The Department of Transportation does not oppose the bill. (12-5-01)

Analyst: J. Hunault

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.