SENATE BILL No. 1193

March 6, 2002, Introduced by Senators SCHWARZ, SHUGARS, JOHNSON, BYRUM, EMERSON and NORTH and referred to the Committee on Health Policy.

A bill to amend 1939 PA 280, entitled "The social welfare act," $(\text{MCL 400.1 to 400.119b}) \text{ by adding sections 111k, 111l, 111m, } \\ 111n, 111o, 111p, 111q, and 111r.$

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 SEC. 111K. AS USED IN SECTIONS 111l TO 111R:
- 2 (A) "BOARD" MEANS THE DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW BOARD ESTAB-
- 3 LISHED UNDER SECTION 111M.
- 4 (B) "COMMITTEE" MEANS THE PHARMACY AND THERAPEUTICS COMMIT-
- 5 TEE ESTABLISHED UNDER SECTION 111P.
- 6 (C) "COMPENDIA" MEANS THE "AMERICAN HOSPITAL FORMULARY SERV-
- 7 ICES DRUG INFORMATION", "U.S. PHARMACOPEIA--DRUG INFORMATION",
- 8 PEER-REVIEWED MEDICAL LITERATURE, AND CLINICAL INFORMATION
- 9 SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH BY THE
- 10 PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH COMPANY THAT DEVELOPED THE PRODUCT AND IS

05406'01 LTB

- 1 REGISTERED WITH THE UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION AS
- 2 THE PRODUCT DISTRIBUTOR.
- 3 (D) "DUR CRITERIA" MEANS STANDARDS APPROVED BY THE BOARD TO
- 4 DETERMINE WHETHER USE OF A DRUG IS LIKELY TO BE MEDICALLY APPRO-
- 5 PRIATE, BE MEDICALLY NECESSARY, AND NOT RESULT IN AN ADVERSE MED-
- 6 TCAL OUTCOME.
- 7 (E) "DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW" OR "DUR" MEANS BOTH RETROSPEC-
- 8 TIVE AND PROSPECTIVE DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW. DUR PROGRAMS ARE
- 9 DESIGNED TO ENSURE THAT DRUG UTILIZATION IS MEDICALLY APPROPRI-
- 10 ATE, MEDICALLY NECESSARY, AND NOT LIKELY TO HAVE ADVERSE MEDICAL
- 11 RESULTS.
- 12 (F) "PRIOR AUTHORIZATION" MEANS A PROCESS REQUIRING THE PRE-
- 13 SCRIBER OR THE DISPENSER TO VERIFY WITH THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF
- 14 COMMUNITY HEALTH OR ITS CONTRACTOR THAT PROPOSED MEDICAL USE OF A
- 15 PARTICULAR MEDICINE FOR A PATIENT MEETS PREDETERMINED CRITERIA
- 16 FOR COVERAGE BY THE PROGRAM.
- 17 (G) "PROSPECTIVE DUR" MEANS THAT PART OF THE DRUG UTILIZA-
- 18 TION REVIEW PROGRAM THAT OCCURS BEFORE A DRUG IS DISPENSED AND
- 19 THAT USES THE DUR CRITERIA TO SCREEN FOR POTENTIAL DRUG THERAPY
- 20 PROBLEMS RELATED TO THERAPEUTIC DUPLICATION, DRUG-DISEASE CONTRA-
- 21 INDICATIONS, DRUG-DRUG INTERACTIONS, INCORRECT DRUG DOSAGE OR
- 22 DURATION OF DRUG TREATMENT, DRUG-ALLERGY INTERACTIONS, AND CLINI-
- 23 CAL ABUSE OR MISUSE.
- 24 (H) "RETROSPECTIVE DUR" MEANS THAT PART OF THE DRUG UTILIZA-
- 25 TION REVIEW PROGRAM THAT IS A HISTORICAL REVIEW OF DRUG UTILIZA-
- 26 TION DATA USING DUR CRITERIA TO EXAMINE PHARMACY CLAIMS DATA AND
- 27 OTHER INFORMATION TO IDENTIFY OVERUTILIZATION, UNDERUTILIZATION,

- 1 APPROPRIATE USE OF GENERIC PRODUCTS, THERAPEUTIC DUPLICATION,
- 2 DRUG-DISEASE CONTRAINDICATIONS, DRUG-DRUG INTERACTIONS, INCORRECT
- 3 DRUG DOSAGE OR DURATION OF DRUG TREATMENT, AND CLINICAL ABUSE OR
- 4 MISUSE.
- 5 SEC. 111l. THE LEGISLATURE RECOGNIZES THAT OUTPATIENT PRE-
- 6 SCRIPTION DRUGS ARE AN ESSENTIAL COMPONENT OF PATIENT CARE AND,
- 7 AS A HEALTH BENEFITS PAYER UNDER THE STATE'S MEDICAL ASSISTANCE
- 8 PROGRAM, THE LEGISLATURE DIRECTS THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY
- 9 HEALTH TO ADD A PRIOR AUTHORIZATION COMPONENT TO ITS DRUG UTILI-
- 10 ZATION REVIEW PROGRAM TO ENSURE THAT BENEFICIARIES HAVE ACCESS TO
- 11 MEDICALLY NECESSARY MEDICINES IN A CLINICALLY APPROPRIATE AND
- 12 COST-EFFECTIVE MANNER.
- 13 SEC. 111M. (1) THE DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW BOARD IS ESTAB-
- 14 LISHED WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH FOR THE PURPOSE
- 15 OF IMPLEMENTING A RETROSPECTIVE AND PROSPECTIVE DRUG UTILIZATION
- 16 REVIEW PROGRAM.
- 17 (2) THE BOARD SHALL CONSIST OF 11 MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE
- 18 DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH TO INCLUDE ALL OF
- 19 THE FOLLOWING:
- 20 (A) FOUR PHYSICIANS LICENSED UNDER ARTICLE 15 OF THE PUBLIC
- 21 HEALTH CODE, 1978 PA 368, MCL 333.16101 TO 333.18838, ACTIVELY
- 22 ENGAGED IN THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE, AND CHOSEN FROM A LIST OF
- 23 NOMINEES PROVIDED BY THE MICHIGAN MEDICAL SOCIETY.
- 24 (B) FIVE PHARMACISTS LICENSED UNDER ARTICLE 15 OF THE PUBLIC
- 25 HEALTH CODE, 1978 PA 368, MCL 333.16101 TO 333.18838, ACTIVELY
- 26 ENGAGED IN THE PRACTICE OF PHARMACY, AND CHOSEN FROM A LIST OF
- 27 NOMINEES PROVIDED BY THE MICHIGAN PHARMACY ASSOCIATION.

- 1 (C) ONE RESIDENT OF THIS STATE REPRESENTING MEDICAL
- 2 ASSISTANCE PROGRAM BENEFICIARIES.
- 3 (D) ONE RESIDENT OF THIS STATE REPRESENTING THE PHARMACEUTI-
- 4 CAL INDUSTRY CHOSEN FROM A LIST OF NOMINEES PROVIDED BY THE PHAR-
- 5 MACEUTICAL RESEARCH AND MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA.
- 6 (3) BOARD MEMBERS SHALL SERVE STAGGERED 3-YEAR TERMS. ONE
- 7 PHYSICIAN, 1 PHARMACIST, AND THE BENEFICIARY REPRESENTATIVE SHALL
- 8 EACH BE INITIALLY APPOINTED FOR 2 YEARS, AND 1 PHYSICIAN, 2 PHAR-
- 9 MACISTS, AND THE INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVE SHALL EACH BE INITIALLY
- 10 APPOINTED FOR 1 YEAR. MEMBERS MAY BE REAPPOINTED FOR A PERIOD
- 11 NOT TO EXCEED 3 THREE-YEAR TERMS. VACANCIES ON THE BOARD SHALL
- 12 BE FILLED FOR THE BALANCE OF THE UNEXPIRED TERM FROM NOMINEE
- 13 LISTS FOR THE APPROPRIATE BOARD CATEGORY AS UNDER
- 14 SUBSECTION (2).
- 15 (4) BOARD MEMBERS SHALL SELECT A CHAIRPERSON AND A VICE
- 16 CHAIRPERSON ON AN ANNUAL BASIS FROM THE BOARD MEMBERSHIP.
- 17 (5) THE BOARD SHALL MEET AT LEAST 6 TIMES EACH YEAR AND MAY
- 18 MEET AT OTHER TIMES AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CHAIRPERSON. NOTICE
- 19 OF A MEETING OF THE BOARD SHALL BE PUBLISHED IN THE MICHIGAN BUL-
- 20 LETIN 30 DAYS BEFORE THE MEETING. BOARD MEETINGS SHALL COMPLY
- 21 WITH THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.261 TO 15.275.
- 22 BOARD MEETINGS ARE SUBJECT TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT
- 23 OF 1969, 1969 PA 306, 24.201 TO 24.328.
- 24 SEC. 111N. THE BOARD SHALL DO ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:
- 25 (A) ADVISE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING RULES PROMUL-
- 26 GATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH IMPLEMENTING STATE
- 27 AND FEDERAL LAW RELATED TO DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW.

- 1 (B) OVERSEE IMPLEMENTATION OF A RETROSPECTIVE AND
- 2 PROSPECTIVE DUR PROGRAM FOR THE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM,
- 3 INCLUDING RESPONSIBILITY FOR RECOMMENDING CRITERIA FOR SELECTION
- 4 OF CONTRACTORS AND REVIEWING CONTRACTS BETWEEN THE MEDICAL
- 5 ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AND ANY OTHER ENTITY THAT WILL PROCESS AND
- 6 REVIEW DRUG CLAIMS AND PROFILES FOR THE DUR PROGRAM IN ACCORDANCE
- **7** WITH SECTIONS 111l TO 111R.
- 8 (C) DEVELOP AND APPLY THE DUR CRITERIA FOR THE RETROSPECTIVE
- 9 AND PROSPECTIVE DUR PROGRAMS AND ENSURE THAT THE DUR CRITERIA ARE
- 10 CONSISTENT WITH THE INDICATIONS SUPPORTED OR REJECTED BY THE COM-
- 11 PENDIA AND UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION APPROVED
- 12 LABELING FOR THE DRUG. THE BOARD SHALL CONSIDER OUTSIDE INFORMA-
- 13 TION PROVIDED BY INTERESTED PARTIES, INCLUDING PRESCRIBERS WHO
- 14 TREAT SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS OF PATIENTS UNDER THE MEDICAL ASSIST-
- 15 ANCE PROGRAM.
- 16 (D) ESTABLISH A PROCESS TO REASSESS THE DUR CRITERIA ON A
- 17 PERIODIC BASIS AND MODIFY THE PROSPECTIVE AND RETROSPECTIVE DUR
- 18 PROGRAMS, AS NECESSARY.
- 19 (E) PROVIDE A PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT DURING EACH BOARD
- 20 MEETING AND FURNISH NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE DUR CRI-
- 21 TERIA AND MODIFICATION OF THE PROSPECTIVE AND RETROSPECTIVE DUR
- 22 PROGRAMS 30 DAYS BEFORE CONSIDERING OR RECOMMENDING A PROPOSED
- 23 CHANGE TO THE DUR PROGRAMS.
- 24 SEC. 111o. (1) THE BOARD, IN COOPERATION WITH THE DEPART-
- 25 MENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH, SHALL CREATE AND IMPLEMENT A PROSPEC-
- 26 TIVE AND RETROSPECTIVE DUR PROGRAM FOR OUTPATIENT PRESCRIPTION
- 27 DRUGS UNDER THE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, USING DUR CRITERIA TO

- 1 ENSURE THAT DRUG UTILIZATION IS MEDICALLY APPROPRIATE, MEDICALLY
- 2 NECESSARY, AND NOT LIKELY TO RESULT IN ADVERSE MEDICAL OUTCOMES.
- 3 (2) THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH MAY CONTRACT WITH AN
- 4 ENTITY TO PROCESS AND REVIEW DRUG CLAIMS AND PROFILES FOR THE DUR
- 5 PROGRAM. THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH SHALL USE A COMPETI-
- 6 TIVE BIDDING PROCESS AS REQUIRED UNDER SECTION 261 OF THE MANAGE-
- 7 MENT AND BUDGET ACT, 1984 PA 431, MCL 18.1261.
- 8 (3) THE PROSPECTIVE DUR PROGRAM SHALL BE BASED ON DUR CRI-
- 9 TERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD AND SHALL PROVIDE THAT, BEFORE A
- 10 PRESCRIPTION IS FILLED OR DELIVERED, A REVIEW SHALL BE CONDUCTED
- 11 BY A PHARMACIST AT THE POINT OF SALE TO SCREEN FOR A POTENTIAL
- 12 DRUG THERAPY PROBLEM. IN CONDUCTING THE PROSPECTIVE DUR REVIEW,
- 13 A PHARMACIST SHALL NOT ALTER THE PRESCRIBED OUTPATIENT DRUG THER-
- 14 APY WITHOUT A NEW PRESCRIPTION ORDER BY THE PRESCRIBING PHYSICIAN
- 15 AND APPROVAL BY THE PATIENT. THE PROSPECTIVE DUR REVIEW SHALL
- 16 SCREEN FOR ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:
- 17 (A) THERAPEUTIC DUPLICATION.
- 18 (B) DRUG-DISEASE CONTRAINDICATIONS.
- 19 (C) DRUG-DRUG INTERACTIONS.
- 20 (D) INCORRECT DRUG DOSAGE OR DURATION OF DRUG TREATMENT.
- 21 (E) DRUG-ALLERGY INTERACTIONS.
- 22 (F) CLINICAL ABUSE OR MISUSE.
- 23 (4) THE RETROSPECTIVE DUR PROGRAM SHALL BE BASED ON DUR CRI-
- 24 TERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD USING THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY
- 25 HEALTH'S MECHANIZED DRUG CLAIMS PROCESSING AND INFORMATION
- 26 RETRIEVAL SYSTEM TO ANALYZE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE CLAIMS TO DO ALL
- 27 OF THE FOLLOWING:

- 1 (A) IDENTIFY PATTERNS OF FRAUD, ABUSE, GROSS OVERUSE OR
- 2 UNDERUSE, OR INAPPROPRIATE OR MEDICALLY UNNECESSARY CARE.
- 3 (B) ASSESS DATA ON DRUG USE BY APPLYING AND REVIEWING CRI-
- 4 TERIA DEVELOPED FROM THE COMPENDIA OR UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG
- 5 ADMINISTRATION APPROVED LABELING FOR THE PURPOSE OF EVALUATING
- 6 ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:
- 7 (i) THERAPEUTIC APPROPRIATENESS.
- 8 (ii) OVERUTILIZATION OR UNDERUTILIZATION.
- 9 (iii) APPROPRIATE USE OF GENERIC PRODUCTS.
- 10 (iv) THERAPEUTIC DUPLICATION.
- 11 (v) DRUG-DISEASE CONTRAINDICATIONS.
- 12 (vi) DRUG-DRUG INTERACTIONS.
- 13 (vii) INCORRECT DRUG DOSAGE OR DURATION OF DRUG TREATMENT.
- 14 (viii) CLINICAL ABUSE OR MISUSE.
- 15 (C) PROPOSE REMEDIAL STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF
- 16 CARE AND PROMOTE EFFECTIVE USE OF MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
- 17 FUNDS OR BENEFICIARY EXPENDITURES.
- 18 SEC. 111P. (1) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW,
- 19 THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH MAY IMPLEMENT A PRIOR AUTHORI-
- 20 ZATION PROGRAM FOR OUTPATIENT PRESCRIPTION DRUGS UNDER THE MEDI-
- ${f 21}$ CAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM ONLY AS PROVIDED IN SECTIONS 111l TO
- **22** 111R.
- 23 (2) THE PHARMACY AND THERAPEUTICS COMMITTEE IS ESTABLISHED
- 24 WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH FOR THE PURPOSES OF
- 25 IMPLEMENTING PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FOR OUTPATIENT PRESCRIPTION
- 26 DRUGS UNDER THE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

- 1 (3) THE COMMITTEE SHALL CONSIST OF 11 MEMBERS APPOINTED BY
- 2 THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH TO INCLUDE ALL
- 3 OF THE FOLLOWING:
- 4 (A) FIVE PHYSICIANS LICENSED UNDER ARTICLE 15 OF THE PUBLIC
- 5 HEALTH CODE, 1978 PA 368, MCL 333.16101 TO 333.18838, ACTIVELY
- 6 ENGAGED IN THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE, AND CHOSEN FROM A LIST OF
- 7 NOMINEES PROVIDED BY THE MICHIGAN MEDICAL SOCIETY.
- 8 (B) FOUR PHARMACISTS LICENSED UNDER ARTICLE 15 OF THE PUBLIC
- 9 HEALTH CODE, 1978 PA 368, MCL 333.16101 TO 333.18838, ACTIVELY
- 10 ENGAGED IN THE PRACTICE OF PHARMACY, AND CHOSEN FROM A LIST OF
- 11 NOMINEES PROVIDED BY THE MICHIGAN PHARMACY ASSOCIATION.
- 12 (C) ONE RESIDENT OF THIS STATE REPRESENTING MEDICAL ASSIST-
- 13 ANCE PROGRAM BENEFICIARIES.
- 14 (D) ONE RESIDENT OF THIS STATE REPRESENTING THE PHARMACEUTI-
- 15 CAL INDUSTRY CHOSEN FROM A LIST OF NOMINEES PROVIDED BY THE PHAR-
- 16 MACEUTICAL RESEARCH AND MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA.
- 17 (4) COMMITTEE MEMBERS SHALL SERVE STAGGERED 3-YEAR TERMS.
- 18 TWO PHYSICIANS, 1 PHARMACIST, AND THE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE BENEFI-
- 19 CIARY REPRESENTATIVE SHALL EACH BE INITIALLY APPOINTED FOR 2-YEAR
- 20 TERMS, AND 1 PHYSICIAN, 1 PHARMACIST, AND THE INDUSTRY REPRESEN-
- 21 TATIVE SHALL EACH BE INITIALLY APPOINTED FOR 1-YEAR TERMS.
- 22 MEMBERS MAY BE REAPPOINTED FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 3
- 23 THREE-YEAR TERMS. VACANCIES ON THE COMMITTEE SHALL BE FILLED FOR
- 24 THE BALANCE OF THE UNEXPIRED TERM FROM NOMINEE LISTS FOR THE
- 25 APPROPRIATE COMMITTEE CATEGORY AS UNDER SUBSECTION (3).
- 26 (5) COMMITTEE MEMBERS SHALL SELECT A CHAIRPERSON AND A VICE
- 27 CHAIRPERSON ON AN ANNUAL BASIS FROM THE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP.

- 1 (6) THE COMMITTEE SHALL MEET AT LEAST 6 TIMES EACH YEAR AND
- 2 MAY MEET AT OTHER TIMES AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CHAIRPERSON.
- 3 NOTICE OF A MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE SHALL BE PUBLISHED IN THE
- 4 MICHIGAN BULLETIN 30 DAYS BEFORE THE MEETING. COMMITTEE MEETINGS
- 5 SHALL COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, 1976
- 6 PA 267, MCL 15.261 TO 15.275. COMMITTEE MEETINGS ARE SUBJECT TO
- 7 THE PROVISIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT OF 1969, 1969
- 8 PA 306, MCL 24.201 TO 24.328.
- 9 SEC. 111Q. THE COMMITTEE SHALL DO ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:
- 10 (A) ADVISE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING RULES PROMUL-
- 11 GATED BY THE DEPARTMENT REGARDING OUTPATIENT PRESCRIPTION DRUG
- 12 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION.
- 13 (B) OVERSEE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A DRUG PRIOR AUTHORIZATION
- 14 PROGRAM FOR THE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.
- 15 (C) ESTABLISH THE DRUG PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REVIEW PROCESS IN
- 16 COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 111R.
- 17 (D) MAKE FORMAL RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT REGARDING
- 18 ANY OUTPATIENT PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERED BY THE MEDICAL ASSIST-
- 19 ANCE PROGRAM REQUIRING PRIOR AUTHORIZATION.
- 20 (E) REVIEW ON A SEMIANNUAL BASIS WHETHER DRUGS PLACED ON
- 21 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION ARE TO REMAIN ON PRIOR AUTHORIZATION.
- 22 (F) IF NECESSARY, MODIFY THE PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REVIEW PRO-
- 23 CESS TO ACHIEVE THE OBJECTIVES OF THIS ACT.
- 24 SEC. 111R. (1) A DRUG PRIOR AUTHORIZATION PROGRAM SHALL
- 25 MEET ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

- 1 (A) THE PROGRAM SHALL PROVIDE TELEPHONE, FACSIMILE, OR OTHER
- 2 ELECTRONICALLY TRANSMITTED APPROVAL OR DENIAL WITHIN 24 HOURS
- 3 AFTER RECEIPT OF THE PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REQUEST.
- 4 (B) IN AN EMERGENCY SITUATION, INCLUDING A SITUATION IN
- 5 WHICH A RESPONSE TO A PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REQUEST IS UNAVAILABLE,
- 6 A 72-HOUR SUPPLY OF THE PRESCRIBED DRUG SHALL BE DISPENSED AND
- 7 PAID FOR BY THE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR, AT THE DISCRETION
- 8 OF THE COMMITTEE, A SUPPLY GREATER THAN 72 HOURS THAT WILL ASSURE
- 9 A MINIMUM EFFECTIVE DURATION OF THERAPY FOR AN ACUTE
- 10 INTERVENTION.
- 11 (C) AUTHORIZATION SHALL BE GRANTED IF THE DRUG IS PRESCRIBED
- 12 FOR A MEDICALLY ACCEPTED USE SUPPORTED BY EITHER THE COMPENDIA,
- 13 APPROVED PRODUCT LABELING, OR PEER-REVIEWED LITERATURE UNLESS
- 14 THERE IS A THERAPEUTICALLY EQUIVALENT GENERIC DRUG THAT IS AVAIL-
- 15 ABLE WITHOUT PRIOR AUTHORIZATION.
- 16 (D) THE DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW PROGRAM ADMINISTRATORS SHALL
- 17 CONSULT WITH PRESCRIBERS TO DEVELOP A STREAMLINED PROCESS FOR THE
- 18 PRESCRIBER TO FURNISH ANY DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED TO SUPPORT A
- 19 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REQUEST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, NAME,
- 20 TITLE, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF THE PRESCRIBER MAKING THE
- 21 REQUEST, DATE OF THE REQUEST, THE PRODUCT NAME OF THE REQUESTED
- 22 DRUG, A DESCRIPTION OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND BASIS FOR THE
- 23 REQUEST, AND WHETHER THE REQUEST IS AN EMERGENCY. THE PROCESS
- 24 SHALL FLOW DIRECTLY FROM THE PATIENT CARE INTERACTION. THE
- 25 DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH SHALL NOT REQUIRE A SEPARATE SET
- 26 OF TASKS OF THE PRESCRIBER.

- 1 (2) THE COMMITTEE SHALL NOT RECOMMEND A DRUG FOR PRIOR
- 2 AUTHORIZATION, AND THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH SHALL NOT
- 3 PLACE A DRUG ON PRIOR AUTHORIZATION, UNLESS ALL OF THE FOLLOWING
- 4 CONDITIONS ARE MET:
- 5 (A) THE COMMITTEE ANALYZES THE RETROSPECTIVE DUR DATA USING
- 6 THE DUR CRITERIA TO IDENTIFY A DRUG, USE OF WHICH IS LIKELY NOT
- 7 TO BE MEDICALLY APPROPRIATE OR MEDICALLY NECESSARY OR IS LIKELY
- 8 TO RESULT IN AN ADVERSE MEDICAL OUTCOME.
- 9 (B) THE COMMITTEE CONSIDERS THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON PATIENT
- 10 CARE AND THE POTENTIAL FISCAL IMPACT THAT MAY RESULT FROM A DRUG
- 11 ON PRIOR AUTHORIZATION.
- 12 (C) ANY CONSIDERATION OF THE COST OF THE DRUG BY THE COMMIT-
- 13 TEE SHALL REFLECT THE TOTAL COST OF TREATING THE CONDITIONS FOR
- 14 WHICH THE DRUG IS PRESCRIBED, INCLUDING NONPHARMACEUTICAL COSTS
- 15 AND COSTS INCURRED BY OTHER SECTORS OF THE STATE HEALTH CARE PRO-
- 16 GRAM THAT MAY BE AFFECTED BY THE DRUG'S AVAILABILITY FOR USE IN
- 17 TREATING MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM BENEFICIARIES.
- 18 (D) THE COMMITTEE PROVIDES 30 DAYS' PUBLIC NOTICE PRIOR TO
- 19 EACH MEETING DEVELOPING RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING WHETHER A DRUG
- 20 SHOULD BE PLACED ON PRIOR AUTHORIZATION. ANY INTERESTED PARTY
- 21 MAY REQUEST AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE AN ORAL PRESENTATION TO THE
- 22 COMMITTEE RELATED TO THE PRIOR AUTHORIZATION OF THE DRUG. THE
- 23 COMMITTEE SHALL ALSO CONSIDER ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED BY ANY
- 24 INTERESTED PARTY, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PHYSICIANS,
- 25 PHARMACISTS, BENEFICIARIES, AND MANUFACTURERS OR DISTRIBUTORS OF
- 26 THE DRUG.

- 1 (E) THE COMMITTEE MAKES A FORMAL WRITTEN RECOMMENDATION TO
- 2 THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH THAT A DRUG BE PLACED ON PRIOR
- 3 AUTHORIZATION THAT IS SUPPORTED BY AN ANALYSIS OF PROSPECTIVE AND
- 4 RETROSPECTIVE DUR DATA DEMONSTRATING ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:
- 5 (i) THE EXPECTED IMPACT OF A DECISION ON THE CLINICAL CARE
- 6 LIKELY TO BE RECEIVED BY BENEFICIARIES FOR WHOM THE DRUG IS MEDI-
- 7 CALLY NECESSARY.
- 8 (ii) THE EXPECTED IMPACT ON PHYSICIANS WHOSE PATIENTS
- 9 REQUIRE THE DRUG.
- 10 (iii) THE EXPECTED FISCAL IMPACT ON THE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE
- 11 PROGRAM.
- 12 (F) THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH ACCEPTS OR REJECTS
- 13 THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE AND, IN A WRITTEN DECISION,
- 14 DETERMINES WHETHER A DRUG SHALL BE PLACED ON PRIOR
- 15 AUTHORIZATION. THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH MAY CONSIDER
- 16 ANY ADDITIONAL AND CLARIFYING INFORMATION PROVIDED BY ANY INTER-
- 17 ESTED BEFORE PARTY RENDERING ITS DECISION.
- 18 (G) THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH'S DECISION SHALL BE
- 19 PUBLISHED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR A PERIOD OF NOT LESS THAN 30
- 20 DAYS. THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE DECISION SHALL NOT BE PRIOR TO
- 21 THE CLOSE OF THE COMMENT PERIOD AND EFFECTIVE NOTICE OF THE
- 22 DECISION'S FINALITY IS AVAILABLE TO PRESCRIBERS.
- 23 (3) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF SECTIONS 111l TO
- 24 111R, UNLESS A DRUG HAS BEEN APPROVED OR HAD ANY OF ITS PARTICU-
- 25 LAR USES APPROVED BY THE UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRA-
- 26 TION UNDER A PRIORITY REVIEW CLASSIFICATION, THAT DRUG SHALL NOT
- 27 BE RECOMMENDED TO REQUIRE PRIOR AUTHORIZATION BY THE COMMITTEE

- 1 AND PLACED ON PRIOR AUTHORIZATION BY THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY
- 2 HEALTH.
- 3 (4) THE COMMITTEE SHALL DEVELOP A GRIEVANCE MECHANISM FOR
- 4 INTERESTED PARTIES TO APPEAL THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH'S
- 5 DECISION TO PLACE A DRUG ON PRIOR AUTHORIZATION. AFTER PARTICI-
- 6 PATING IN THE GRIEVANCE MECHANISM DEVELOPED BY THE COMMITTEE, ANY
- 7 INTERESTED PARTY AGGRIEVED BY THE PLACEMENT OF A DRUG ON PRIOR
- 8 AUTHORIZATION IS ENTITLED TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING BEFORE THE
- 9 DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH ACCORDING TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE
- 10 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT OF 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201 TO
- **11** 24.328.
- 12 (5) THE COMMITTEE SHALL REVIEW THE PRIOR AUTHORIZATION
- 13 STATUS OF A DRUG EVERY 6 MONTHS.
- 14 (6) THE COMMITTEE SHALL PROVIDE 30 DAYS' PUBLIC NOTICE PRIOR
- 15 TO EACH MEETING DETERMINING IF CHANGES SHOULD BE MADE TO THE DRUG

LTB

16 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REVIEW PROCESS.

05406'01 Final page.