SENATE BILL No. 145

(As amended, April 27, 2004)

February 4, 2003, Introduced by Senators BISHOP, GILBERT, GOSCHKA and JELINEK and referred to the Committee on Transportation.

A bill to amend 1969 PA 296, entitled

"An act to provide for the transfer of jurisdiction over highways; to provide for the final determination of disputes involving transfers of highway jurisdiction; and to supersede certain acts and parts of acts,"

by amending sections 1 and 5 (MCL 247.851 and 247.855), section 5 as amended by 1980 PA 12, and by adding section 3a.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

<<Sec. 1. As used in this act:

- (a) "Highway authority" means the state highway commission, a board of county road commissioners or the governing body of a city or village.
 - (b) "Highway" means a highway, road or street.
 - (c) "Board" means the highway jurisdiction determination board.
- (d) "County road commission" means the board of county road commissioners elected or appointed pursuant to section 6 of chapter IV of 1909 PA 283, MCL 224.6, or, in the case of a charter county with a population of 2,000,000 or more with an elected county executive that does not have a board of county road commissioners, the county executive for ministerial functions and the county commission provided for in section 14(1)(d) of 1966 PA 293, MCL 45.514, for legislative functions.>> Sec. 3a. (1) In a county with a population over 1,000,000,
- 2 the county board of commissioners may request that the governing
- 3 body of a city or village within that county transfer
- 4 jurisdiction of a road that was once under the jurisdiction of
- 5 the board of county road commissioners back to the board of 01193'03 MRM

- 6 county road commissioners if the county board of commissioners
- 7 makes all of the following findings:
- 8 (a) The road has been blocked or closed for more than 6
- 9 months and the city or village cannot demonstrate a compelling

01193'03 MRM

- 1 need for blocking or closing the road. The fact that a city or
- 2 village has jurisdiction over the road at the time of the request
- 3 of the county board of commissioners does not demonstrate a
- 4 compelling need for blocking or closing the road.
- 5 (b) For purposes of health, safety, and welfare, the road
- 6 should not be blocked.
- 7 (c) The road is used to serve more than 1 city or village
- 8 within the county.
- 9 (2) The request of the county board of commissioners for a
- 10 transfer of jurisdiction under subsection (1) shall be made in
- 11 writing and addressed to the governing body of the city or
- 12 village that has jurisdiction over the road.
- 13 (3) If within 30 days after a written request is received by
 - 4 a governing body of the city or village under subsection (1) the
- 15 governing body of a city or village does not consent to transfer
- 16 jurisdiction of the road or does not demonstrate a compelling
- 17 need for blocking the road, the county board of commissioners may
- 18 initiate proceedings to transfer jurisdiction of the road back to
- 19 the county board of road commissioners under this act.
- 20 Sec. 5. (1) The business which— that the board may perform
- 21 shall be conducted at a public meeting of the board held in
- 22 compliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976, as
- 23 amended, being sections 15.261 to 15.275 of the Michigan Compiled
- 24 Laws the open meetings act, 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.261 to 15.275.
- 25 Public notice of the time, date, and place of the meeting shall
- 26 be given in the manner required by Act No. 267 of the Public
- 27 Acts of 1976, as amended the open meetings act, 1976 PA 267,

01193'03 MRM

- 1 MCL 15.261 to 15.275. The chairperson of the board shall
- 2 immediately fix a date and place for convening the board to hear
- 3 the testimony of the parties to the proposed transfer of highway
- 4 jurisdiction and shall notify the parties. The date selected
- 5 shall be not more than 30 days after selection of the
- 6 chairperson.
- 7 (2) The board shall convene on the date and at the place
- 8 fixed by the chairperson and shall hear testimony and receive
- 9 evidence from the parties to the proposed transfer of highway
- 10 jurisdiction, from local and regional planning bodies if
- 11 appropriate and from other sources who desire to appear or
- 12 present testimony. The board may reconvene at times and places
- 13 as determined by the chairperson, in compliance with Act No. 267
- 14 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended the open meetings act,
- 15 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.261 to 15.275. In a proceeding initiated
- 16 under section 3a, if the party requesting transfer demonstrates
- 17 to the board's satisfaction the finding required under section
- 18 3a(1)(a), then the party opposing transfer may rebut the
- 19 demonstration by rebutting the demonstration of the party
- 20 requesting transfer concerning the finding required under section
- 21 3a(1)(a) and by demonstrating to the board's satisfaction that
- 22 the findings required under section 3a(1)(b) and (c) have not
- 23 been satisfied. The board shall render a decision in favor of
- 24 the party requesting transfer if the party opposing transfer is
- 25 unable to rebut the demonstration of the party requesting
- 26 transfer under section 3a(1)(a) or is unable to demonstrate that
- 27 the findings required under section 3a(1)(b) and (c) have not

01193'03 MRM

- 1 been satisfied. Two members -shall constitute a quorum and the
- 2 affirmative vote of 2 members is necessary for a final
- 3 determination of the dispute. If a member of the board becomes
- 4 unable to perform the member's duties as a board member, a new
- 5 member shall be selected as prescribed in this act.

01193'03 Final Page MRM