Analyst: William E. Hamilton June 9, 2010

| | FY 2009-10 | FY 2010-11 | FY 2010-11 Senate-passed | FY 2010-11 House | FY 2010-11 | Difference: House Substitute (H-5) From FY 2009-10 YTD | | |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--|
| | YTD | Executive | (SB 1164) | Committee (H-5) | Conference | Amount | % | |
| IDG/IDT | \$0 | \$878,300 | \$878,300 | \$878,300 | | \$878,300 | 100% | |
| Federal | | | | | | | | |
| ARRA | 2,590,000 | | | | | (2,590,000) | (100%) | |
| Non ARRA | 1,226,804,500 | 752,480,600 | 1,227,480,600 | 1,227,480,600 | | 676,100 | 0.0 | |
| Local | 56,073,400 | 56,496,000 | 56,496,000 | 56,496,000 | | 422,600 | 0.8 | |
| Private | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Restricted | 1,974,170,100 | 1,951,074,400 | 1,959,290,800 | 1,949,604,500 | | (24,565,600) | (1.2) | |
| GF/GP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84,000,000 | | 84,000,000 | 100% | |
| Gross | \$3,259,638,000 | \$2,760,929,300 | \$3,243,267,400 | \$3,318,459,400 | | \$58,821,400 | 1.8 | |
| FTEs | 3,014.3 | 3,022.3 | 3,022.3 | 3,022.3 | | 8.0 | 0.2 | |

Note: FY 2009-10 appropriation figures do not reflect the impact of executive order, supplemental, or transfer adjustments made after the release of the FY 2010-11 Executive Budget.

Overview

The Transportation budget supports state and local highway programs, public transportation programs, aeronautics programs, and administration of the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT). Most of the state restricted revenue in this budget is constitutionally-restricted. Constitutionally-restricted transportation revenue – from motor fuel taxes and vehicle registration taxes – is first credited to the Michigan Transportation Fund (MTF) and then distributed in accordance with 1951 PA 51 to other state transportation funds and programs, including the State Trunkline Fund (STF) and the Comprehensive Transportation Fund (CTF) – and to local road agencies. The other major fund source in the budget is federal revenue.

Summary of Major Budget Issues

The Executive proposed budget had reflected an anticipated reduction in state-restricted revenue MTF revenue – a \$47.2 million reduction from the amount on which the current year budget was based. The Executive budget also reflects the loss of \$475 million in federal-aid highway funds due to the anticipated \$84 million shortfall in STF revenue needed for the required non-federal match.

The Senate-passed transportation budget, SB 1164, provided \$84 million in state funds for the state road and bridge program, from internal shifts in the transportation budget; the bill redirected revenue from other transportation line items and restricted funds to the state trunkline road and bridge line. As a result, the Senate-passed bill also recognized an additional \$475 million in federal funds, as compared to the Executive recommendation.

The House substitute (H-5) provides \$84 million in state General Fund revenue for the road and road bridge program, and thus recognizes an additional \$475 million in federal funds as compared to the Executive recommendation.

The H-5 substitute also differs from the bill as reported from committee in that is does not include Section 662 which had provided for appropriations contingent on the passage of revenue increase bills; it includes new Section 604 regarding the placement of warning signs in construction zones; it modifies Section 266 to provide for an FTE report and an accessible internet website to post department expenditures.

Note that the substitute does not recognize economic or cost allocation increases for Interdepartmental grants for collection, enforcement, and other state agency support service. This results in a decrease in Gross appropriations of \$1.5 million as compared to the Executive recommendation, although this effectively retains \$1.5 million for state restricted transportation funds. The House substitute includes \$40,000 for truck turnoffs in the TEDF forest roads program. These items are the same in both the H-1 and H-5 substitutes.

| Major Budget Changes From FY 2009-10 YTD Appropriations Except as noted, the House Substitute follows the Executive recommendation. | FY 2009-10 YTD (as of 2/12/10) | Change <u>From YTD</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| | \$251,637,300 ederal 58,163,500 tricted 193,473,800 | (\$4,824,300) (12,331,400) 7,507,100 |
| While the appropriation includes \$29.8 million related to CTF debt service, \$13.2 million of that amount would not be used for debt service; it would instead be transferred to a bond proceeds account for subsequent expenditure on public transportation capital projects. The department was able to reduce CTF debt service for three years by restructuring CTF bonds in May 2009. The \$13.2 million represents the second year of reduced CTF debt service. The transfer of this savings to a bond proceeds account is required by IRS regulations governing tax-free bonds, and would be authorized by proposed boilerplate Section 745. | | |
| 2. Support Services Provided by Other State Departments The appropriation unit "Collection, enforcement, and other agency support services" includes 20 separate interdepartmental grant (IDG) line items which fund services provided by eight other state departments. The Executive budget reflected \$721,500 in economic increases, \$747,500 increase in Treasury cost of revenue collection, and \$41,000 for other cost allocation adjustments. MTF IDGs for the cost of collecting transportation taxes would total \$20.0 million to Department of State, and \$8.4 million to Department of Treasury. | Gross \$49,702,300 tricted 49,702,300 | \$0 O |
| The <u>House substitute</u> does not recognize economic or cost allocation increases for collection, enforcement, and other state agency support service. This results in a decrease in Gross appropriations of \$1.5 million as compared to the Executive recommendation, although this effectively retains \$1.5 million for state restricted transportation funds. | | |
| | FTEs 37.3 Gross \$5,803,800 tricted 5,803,800 | 0.0 (\$13,500) (13,500) |
| • • | FTEs 57.0 Gross \$17,594,900 tricted 17,594,900 | 1.0 (\$607,800) (607,800) |
| 0, | Gross \$29,313,200 ederal 510,800 tricted 28,802,400 | (\$874,200) 9,700 (864,500) |

| Major Budget Changes From FY 2009-10 YTD Appropriations Except as noted, the House Substitute follows the Executive recommendation | | FY 2009-10 YTD (as of 2/12/10) | Change <u>From YTD</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6. Finance, Contracts, and Support Services Appropriations unit includes line items for Financial operations, Contract services, Department services, Performance excellence, and Welcome center operations. Economic increases of \$1.2 million, offset by \$1.2 million in STF baseline reductions – part of \$20.0 million in STF program reductions to free up STF matching funds for federal-aid road and bridge program. Also includes \$878,300 IDG from the Michigan Department of Agriculture (MDA) related to consolidation of accounting functions. This IDG reimburses the department for costs of housing the MDA accounting function. | Restricted IDG | 230.5 \$25,058,300 25,058,300 | 7.0 \$1,043,800 263,700 878,300 |
| 7. Transportation Planning Economic increases of \$1.7 million partially offset by \$363,700 in STF baseline reductions – part of \$20.0 million in STF program reductions to free up STF matching funds for federal-aid road and bridge program. | | 176.0 \$36,616,600 22,000,000 14,616,600 | 0.0 \$1,350,700 0 1,350,700 |
| 8. Design and Engineering Services Economic increases of \$8.5 million partially offset by \$3.8 million in STF baseline reductions – part of \$20.0 million in STF program reductions to free up STF matching funds for federal-aid road and bridge program. | | 1,494.8 \$114,201,500 23,529,800 90,671,700 | (2.0) \$4,284,500 0 4,284,500 |
| 9. State Trunkline Maintenance Economic increases of \$4.1 million partially offset by \$12.8 million in STF baseline reductions – part of \$20.0 million in STF program reductions to free up STF matching funds for federal-aid road and bridge program. | | 834.7 \$286,528,100 286,528,100 | 2.0 (\$8,333,200) (8,333,200) |
| The House concurs with the Executive and rolls up the two current line items into a single <i>State trunkline operations</i> line. | | | |
| 10. State Trunkline Road and Bridge Construction The Executive budget had included \$298.6 million for state trunkline capital construction and reconstruction program, representing a \$495.3 million reduction from current year appropriation. This reduction reflected decreasing available state restricted revenue, and anticipated the loss of \$450 million in federal aid due to inability to provide required matching funds. The budget also reflected use of \$6.5 million in federal funds in Capital outlay appropriations unit. These reductions would be partially offset by a \$12.3 million reduction in STF debt service (after considering the IRS rebate). | Local Restricted GF/GP | \$793,918,800 706,812,600 30,000,000 57,106,200 0 | \$63,718,000 6,206,900 0 (26,488,900) 84,000,000 |
| The House substitute (H-5) appropriates \$84 million in state General Fund revenue and thus recognizes an additional \$475 million in federal revenue as compared to the Executive. | | | |
| 11. Local Federal Aid Road and Bridge Construction Recognizes \$248.8 million for federal aid programs of local road agencies (county road commissions, and certain cities). The appropriation is based on estimated federal revenue and Act 51 directive that 25% of most federal aid program funds be made available to local road agencies. | | \$248,751,000 248,751,000 | \$0 0 |
| Note that while the appropriation of federal funds for local road agency programs is the same as current year, it is not clear to what extent some local road agencies will have trouble meeting federal program matching requirements. | | | |
| 12. Local Bridge FundAct 51 earmark; reflects anticipated reduction in gasoline tax revenue. | Gross Restricted | \$26,905,000 26,905,000 | (\$105,200) (105,200) |
| 13. MTF Distribution to Local Road Agencies The Executive recognizes \$858.8 million MTF (\$551.4 million to county road commissions, \$307.4 million to cities/villages) based on February 2010 revenue estimates and Act 51 formula; final distribution will be based on actual MTF revenue, which may be more or less than appropriations. | | \$886,145,400 886,145,400 | (\$27,388,900) (27,388,900) |

| Major Budget Changes From FY 2009-10 YTD Appropriations Except as noted, the House Substitute follows the Executive recommendation | n. | FY 2009-10 YTD (as of 2/12/10) | Change <u>From YTD</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 14. Transportation Economic Development Fund (TEDF) The Governor's budget recommendation of \$43.4 million reflects restoration of \$12.0 million in driver's license fee revenue which had been transferred to the state General Fund in the current year. While the TEDF program would benefit from a \$54,800 reduction in TEDF-related debt service, that savings is off-set by anticipated \$1.3 million reduction in interest earnings on the TEDF fund balance. | Gross Restricted | | \$9,698,500 9,698,500 |
| The Executive budget includes a new TEDF line item for "Non-profit street railways" with an initial appropriation of \$1.0 million, and related boilerplate in Section 398. This appropriation would require an amendment to the TEDF authorizing legislation. | | | |
| The House substitute retains \$40,000 earmark in Forest Roads line item for truck inspection turn-offs, and related boilerplate Section 608. | | | |
| 15. Aeronautics and Freight Services Reflects economic increases. Appropriations unit includes three operating line items: Airport improvement services, Aviation services, and Freight and safety services, as well as the Aviation services grant program. | FTEs Gross Restricted | \$11,230,400 | 0.0 \$464,000 464,000 |
| 16. Public Transportation Services This appropriations unit administers passenger public transportation programs. Reflects economic increases and anticipated increase in federal aid. | FTEs Gross Federal Restricted | \$5,455,400 762,100 | 0.0 \$372,200 100,000 272,200 |
| 17. Bus Transit - Local Bus Operating Assistance Recommends \$166.6 million (CTF) for state operating assistance to local public transit agencies – unchanged since FY 2006-07. | Gross Restricted | . , , | \$0 O |
| 18. Bus Transit - Non-Urban Operating/Capital Recognizes anticipated increase in federal non-urban transit grants. | Gross Federal Local | 21,000,000 | \$987,900 987,900 0 |
| 19. Rail Passenger Service Provides operating assistance to AMTRAK for Port Huron/Chicago and Grand Rapids/Chicago rail service with state restricted funds; federal revenue supports capital assistance. | Gross Federal Restricted | 3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 0 3,000,000 |
| Gross appropriations in this line would be \$11.7 million, including \$8.7 million from the CTF. The current year funding was increased by \$2.5 million (CTF) through legislative transfer approved March 3, 2010. This transfer is not reflected in the YTD figures in the column to the right. | | | |
| 20. Specialized Services Recommends \$7.4 million; increase reflects anticipated federal and CTF revenue. Line supports transit programs for elderly and disabled citizens. | Gross Federal Local Restricted | 1,700,000 1,700,000 | \$195,700 85,000 0 110,700 |
| 21. Municipal Credit Program Executive recommends a restoration to Act 51 earmark level of not less than \$2.0 million; reflects anticipated CTF revenue. | Gross Restricted | \$1,873,000 1,873,000 | \$127,000 127,000 |
| 22. Bus Capital Provides matching funds for federal transit grants to local transit agencies; Executive recommends a restoration to Act 51 earmark floor level of not less than \$8.0 million (CTF). | Gross Federal Local Restricted | 28,000,000 5,000,000 | \$3,121,800 300,000 0 2,821,800 |

| <u>Major Budget Changes From FY 2009-10 YTD Appropriations</u> Except as noted, the House Substitute follows the Executive recommendation | | Y 2009-10 YTD (as of 2/12/10) | Change <u>From YTD</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 23. State/Local Capital Facilities Projects Current year appropriation is limited to "Special maintenance, remodeling, and additions" of department facilities. Executive recommends an increase in line of \$713,500 (STF); adds \$1.0 million for Salt/sand storage buildings at contract-maintenance agency locations; adds \$11.5 million for department facilities in Port Huron including Welcome center replacement, inspection station, Blue Water Bridge administration, and maintenance facilities – funded from a combination of state and federal funds. | Gross Federal Restricted | \$2,288,000 0 2,288,000 | \$12,518,300 6,514,800 6,003,500 |
| 29. AERO Capital Projects Provides funding for FY 2010-11 Aeronautics Capital program; reflects anticipated federal program funding. | Gross | \$123,425,700 | (\$179,300) |
| | Federal | 104,874,700 | (1,946,800) |
| | Local | 16,023,400 | 422,600 |
| | Restricted | 2,527,600 | 1,344,900 |
| 31. Economics Reflects estimated additional funding needed to provide baseline service levels: \$5.7 million increase for salaries/wages, \$3.6 million increase for health insurance, \$7.8 million increase for retirement contributions, and \$57,900 projected workers compensation increase of. Estimated building | Gross | N/A | \$16,256,600 |
| | Restricted | N/A | 16,256,600 |

Major Boilerplate Changes From FY 2009-10

occupancy costs would decrease by \$887.500.

Sec. 266. FTE Report and Transparency – MODIFIED

The House substitute (H-5) modifies to provide for an FTE report and an accessible website showing department expenditures.

Secs. 321, 322, 323, and 324 Complete Streets - NEW

The House substitute adds three new sections regarding *Complete Streets* policies and modifies current Section 399 (and renumbers as Section 324).

Sec. 398. Non-Profit Street Railway - MODIFIED

Provides for appropriation for a street railway pursuant to Section 10e(22) of Public Act 51 of 1951. Current year appropriation is \$0; proposed FY 2010-11 budget appropriates \$1.0 million from the TEDF and modifies this boilerplate section to provide for a quarterly report on street railway construction spending, operating costs, revenue, and ridership.

The House substitute adds a boilerplate appropriation of \$100 from the TEDF for matching funds for transit-oriented development projects.

Sec. 604. Construction Zone Safety Signage – NEW

The House substitute (H-5) adds section indicating the intent that the department place signs in construction work zones notifying drivers of increased speeding fines and penalties.

Sec. 661. Intent with Regard to Additional Revenue - NEW

The House substitute adds section indicating the intent to restore delayed or deferred projects if additional revenue is available.

Sec. 662. Contingent Appropriation – NOT INCLUDED

The H-5 Substitute does not include Section 662 from the H-1 substitute which had made additional appropriations contingent on passage of revenue increase bills.

Sec. 711(5). Rail Passenger Transport of Bicycles - NEW

The House substitute adds a new subsection to encourage the department to work with rail carrier to identify ways to accommodate the reasonable transport of bicycles by passengers.

Sec. 745. Transfer of \$13.2 million CTF Debt service savings to bond proceeds account - NEW

Includes new section that authorizes the transfer of up to \$13.2 million from the CTF debt service line item to a restricted bond proceeds account are required by IRS regulations. This transfer is explained in detail under the "Debt Service" description, above.