FY 2015-16: JUDICIARY

Summary: Conference Report

House Bill 4105 (H-2) CR-1



Analyst: Robin R. Risko

	FY 2014-15 YTD	FY 2015-16	FY 2015-16	FY 2015-16	FY 2015-16	Difference: Conference From FY 2014-15 YTD	
	as of 3/12/15	Executive	House	Senate	Conference	Amount	%
IDG/IDT	\$2,364,400	\$2,362,900	\$2,362,900	\$2,362,900	\$2,362,900	(\$1,500)	(0.1)
Federal	6,437,400	6,428,600	6,428,600	6,428,600	6,428,600	(8,800)	(0.1)
Local	7,241,100	7,229,000	7,229,000	7,229,000	7,229,000	(12,100)	(0.2)
Private	944,800	942,900	942,900	942,900	942,900	(1,900)	(0.2)
Restricted	84,252,500	84,245,700	84,245,700	84,245,800	84,245,800	(6,700)	0.0
GF/GP	186,527,400	182,692,200	182,192,200	184,192,200	183,442,200	(3,085,200)	(1.7)
Gross	\$287,767,600	\$283,901,300	\$283,401,300	\$285,401,400	\$284,651,400	(\$3,116,200)	(1.1)
FTEs	490.0	487.0	489.0	489.0	489.0	(1.0)	(0.2)

Note: FY 2014-15 year-to-date figures include mid-year budget adjustments through March 12, 2015 (including 2015 PA 6), and include appropriation amounts designated as "one-time."

Overview

concurs.

Article VI of the State Constitution of 1963 forms the basis for Michigan's judicial branch of government. The Judiciary budget provides operational funding for the Michigan Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals, and related judicial agencies. The budget funds the salaries of justices of the Supreme Court and judges of the appeals, circuit, probate, and district courts according to constitutional and statutory requirements. Funding assistance for local trial court operations is provided through a variety of grant programs. The largest of these, the Court Equity Fund Reimbursement program, reimburses counties for trial court operations based on a statutory formula that recognizes circuit and probate caseloads and the numbers of judgeships.

Budget Changes From FY 2014-15	YTD Appropriations		FY 2014-15 Year-to-Date (as of 3/12/15)	FY 2015-16 Conference <u>Change</u>
occur in various line items and from delaying hiring for vacant positions taken from the Swift and Sure Sanctifrom the Mental Health Courts and I The remaining savings of \$150,0 administration line items throughout Executive on all savings reflected i reduces the Swift and Sure Sanc \$500,000. Senate concurs with the reflected in the Executive recomment the Swift and Sure Sanctions Programillion from the current year appropri Executive on most all of the recommendation, with the exceptions	cognizing year-end lapses that typically of administrative efficiencies, such as . The largest amounts of savings are ons Program line item (\$2.5 million) and Diversion Services line item (\$500,000). 00 is taken from various operation/ to the budget. House concurs with the in the Executive recommendation, plusications Program line item by another to Executive on most all of the savings dation, with the exception of savings for m. Senate reduces the line item by \$1.0 ated level. Conference concurs with the savings reflected in the Executive on of savings for the Swift and Sureduces the line item by \$1.8 million from	Gross IDG Federal Private Restricted GF/GP	\$140,215,300 738,600 3,987,300 944,800 54,059,800 \$80,484,800	(\$2,400,000) 0 0 0 (\$2,400,000)
the Trial Court Innovations Fund. For encourage positive change, adoption	included in the FY 2014-15 budget for unding was used to create incentives to of best practices, and high performance oncurs. Senate concurs. Conference	FTE Gross GF/GP	1.0 \$375,000 \$375,000	(1.0) (\$375,000) (\$375,000)

Budget Changes From FY 2014-15 YTD Appropriations		FY 2014-15 Year-to-Date (as of 3/12/15)	FY 2015-16 Conference <u>Change</u>
3. Savings for Eliminated Judgeships Executive reflects a savings from elimination of one Court of Appea judgeship under Public Act 40 of 2012; elimination of four district cour judgeships under Public Acts 16, 20, and 34 of 2012 and 58 of 2014; ar elimination of five circuit court judgeships under Public Acts 228 of 2009, 1 and 35 of 2012, and 59 of 2014. The amount of savings is a result retirements effective on January 1, 2015. Seventy-five percent of the saving was recognized in the FY 2014-15 budget; the remaining twenty-five perce will be recognized in FY 2015-16. House concurs. Senate concur Conference concurs.	urt nd 18 of gs nt	NA NA	(\$351,800) (\$351,800)
4. Eliminate Community Court Pilot Program Executive eliminates funding appropriated for the Community Court Pil Program. Funding was used to assist the 36th District Court with the community court. Community courts are neighborhood-focused courts th harness the power of the justice system to address local problems. House concurs. Senate concurs. Conference concurs.	eir at	\$20,000 \$20,000	(\$20,000) (\$20,000)
5. Economic Adjustments Executive reflects a net cost increase for increases in salary and wage cost insurance rates, and private rent costs, and reductions in actuariall determined retirement rates, building occupancy charges, and worker compensation costs. House concurs. Senate concurs. Conference concurs	y- Federal r's Local	NA NA NA NA NA NA	\$30,500 (1,500) (8,800) (12,100) (1,900) (6,800) \$61,600
6. Statewide E-Filing System Executive did not make a recommendation. House did not make recommendation. Senate includes a \$100 placeholder to allow for furth discussion on a statewide e-filing system for the trial courts. Conference concurs with the Senate.	er	NA NA	\$100 100

EV 2014 15

EV 204E 40

Boilerplate Changes From FY 2014-15

Sec. 211. Linking Swift and Sure Sanctions Program to Michigan Rehabilitative Services - REVISED

Requires the judicial branch to establish an interagency agreement with DHS and MDOC linking the Swift and Sure Sanctions program with the Michigan Rehabilitative Services program for the purpose of providing job placement and other support services to eligible probationers; authorizes participation in the Swift and Sure Sanctions program by parolees pending enactment of proposed legislation. Executive deletes. House deletes. Senate retains. Conference revises the language to require SCAO to evaluate programs within DHHS and DTED to establish programmatic connections with participants in the Swift and Sure Sanctions program, the purpose being to leverage collaborations and to determine avenues of success for offenders who are eligible for state-provided programs. Also, the language requires SCAO to deliver guidance to courts participating in the Swift and Sure Sanctions program, detailing evaluations and directing participants into available programming.

Sec. 306. Court Collections - DELETED

Requires Supreme Court and SCAO to maintain efforts to assist local trial courts in improving judgment collections. <u>Executive</u> deletes. <u>House</u> retains. <u>Senate</u> deletes. <u>Conference</u> deletes.

Sec. 306. Report Court Collections - NEW

<u>Executive</u> does not include. <u>House</u> does not include. <u>Senate</u> includes new language which requires SCAO to report, by county, the amounts of collected and uncollected restitution payments, court fees, and other judgments, placed on people within the county, for fiscal years 2009 through 2014. <u>Conference</u> includes the new language.

Sec. 308. Judges' Salaries – REVISED

Authorizes appropriation of GF/GP to meet the cost of judges' compensation should funds from the Court Fee Fund be insufficient. <u>Executive</u> retains. <u>House</u> retains. <u>Senate</u> retains, but adds that SCAO is required to notify within 14 days if GF/GP appropriations are made. <u>Conference</u> concurs with the Senate language.

Sec. 309. Mental Health Courts - DELETED

Requires SCAO to provide an annual update on the status of the mental health courts. <u>Executive</u> deletes. <u>House</u> deletes. <u>Senate</u> deletes.

Boilerplate Changes From FY 2014-15

Sec. 309. Report on Specialty Courts - NEW

<u>Executive</u> does not include. <u>House</u> includes new language which requires SCAO to report information on drug treatment courts, mental health courts, and veterans courts, such as the number of each type of program that has been established, the number of program participants in each jurisdiction, and the impact of the programs on offender criminal involvement and recidivism. <u>Senate</u> does not include. Conference includes the new language.

Sec. 310. Drug Treatment Court Evaluation - DELETED

Requires SCAO to evaluate and collect data on the performance of drug treatment court programs and to provide an annual review. Executive deletes. House deletes. Senate deletes. Conference deletes.

Sec. 318. Community Court Pilot Project - DELETED

Requires funding appropriated for the community court pilot project to be used for administering a pilot program of neighborhood-focused community courts. Executive deletes. House deletes. Senate deletes. Conference deletes.

Sec. 320. Swift and Sure Sanctions Program - REVISED

Specifies that the \$6.0 million appropriation is to be expended for the Swift and Sure Sanctions program; requires SCAO to report on offenders participating and on recidivism rates. <u>Executive</u> deletes. <u>House</u> retains language, but adjusts the appropriation amount to reflect the \$3.0 million appropriation included in the House bill. <u>Senate</u> retains language, but adjusts the appropriation amount to reflect the \$5.0 million appropriation included in the Senate bill. <u>Conference</u> retains language, but adjusts the appropriation amount to reflect the \$4.3 million appropriation included in the Conference Report.

Sec. 322a. Federal Funding Authorization for the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission - NEW

<u>Executive</u> does not include. <u>House</u> includes new language which authorizes the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission to receive and expend up to \$250,000 in federal Byrne grant funding, and up to \$300,000 in other federal grant funding, if the funding is made available from the United States Department of Justice. <u>Senate</u> adds Michigan Indigent Defense Commission to existing language for SADO (Sec. 322). <u>Conference</u> includes the new language.