## **HOUSE BILL NO. 4207**

February 19, 2019, Introduced by Reps. VanSingel and Steven Johnson and referred to the Committee on Education.

A bill to amend 1976 PA 451, entitled "The revised school code,"

by amending section 1249 (MCL 380.1249), as amended by 2018 PA 235.

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 Sec. 1249. (1) Subject to subsection (4), with the involvement
- 2 of teachers and school administrators, the board of a school
- 3 district or intermediate school district or board of directors of a
- 4 public school academy shall adopt and implement for all teachers
- 5 and school administrators a rigorous, transparent, and fair





- 1 performance evaluation system that does all of the following:
- 2 (a) Evaluates the teacher's or school administrator's job
- 3 performance at least annually while providing timely and
- 4 constructive feedback.
- **5** (b) Establishes clear approaches to measuring student growth
- 6 and provides teachers and school administrators with relevant data
- 7 on student growth.
- 8 (c) Evaluates a teacher's or school administrator's job
- 9 performance, using multiple rating categories that take into
- 10 account student growth and assessment data. Student growth must be
- 11 measured using multiple measures that may include student learning
- 12 objectives, achievement of individualized education program goals,
- 13 nationally normed or locally developed assessments that are aligned
- 14 to state standards, research-based growth measures, or alternative
- 15 assessments that are rigorous and comparable across schools within
- 16 the school district, intermediate school district, or public school
- 17 academy. If the performance evaluation system implemented by a
- 18 school district, intermediate school district, or public school
- 19 academy under this section does not already include the rating of
- 20 teachers as highly effective, effective, minimally effective, and
- 21 ineffective, then the school district, intermediate school
- 22 district, or public school academy shall revise the performance
- 23 evaluation system not later than September 19, 2011 to ensure that
- 24 it rates teachers as highly effective, effective, minimally
- 25 effective, or ineffective.
- 26 (d) Uses the evaluations, at a minimum, to inform decisions
- 27 regarding all of the following:
- 28 (i) The effectiveness of teachers and school administrators,
- 29 ensuring that they are given ample opportunities for improvement.



00416'19

- (ii) Promotion, retention, and development of teachers and
   school administrators, including providing relevant coaching,
   instruction support, or professional development.
- 4 (iii) Whether to grant tenure or full certification, or both, to
  5 teachers and school administrators using rigorous standards and
  6 streamlined, transparent, and fair procedures.
  - (*iv*) Removing ineffective tenured and untenured teachers and school administrators after they have had ample opportunities to improve, and ensuring that these decisions are made using rigorous standards and streamlined, transparent, and fair procedures.
  - (2) The board of a school district or intermediate school district or board of directors of a public school academy shall ensure that the performance evaluation system for teachers meets all of the following:
  - (a) The performance evaluation system shall must include at least an annual year-end evaluation for all teachers. Beginning with the 2015-2016 school year, an An annual year-end evaluation shall must meet all of the following:
  - (i) For the 2015-2016, 2016-2017, and 2017-2018 school years, 25% of the annual year-end evaluation shall be based on student growth and assessment data. Beginning with the 2018-2019 school year, 40% Forty percent of the annual year-end evaluation shall must be based on student growth and assessment data.
  - (ii) Beginning with the 2018-2019 school year, for For core content areas in grades and subjects in which state assessments are administered, 50% of student growth must be measured using the state assessments, and the portion of student growth not measured using state assessments must be measured using multiple research-based growth measures or alternative assessments that are rigorous



- 1 and comparable across schools within the school district,
- 2 intermediate school district, or public school academy. Student
- 3 growth also may be measured by student learning objectives or
- 4 nationally normed or locally adopted assessments that are aligned
- 5 to state standards, or based on achievement of individualized
- 6 education program goals.
- 7 (iii) Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, the The portion
- 8 of a teacher's annual year-end evaluation that is not based on
- 9 student growth and assessment data, as described under subparagraph
- 10 (i), shall must be based primarily on a teacher's performance as
- 11 measured by the evaluation tool developed or adopted by the school
- 12 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy
- 13 under subdivision (f).
- 14 (iv) The portion of a teacher's evaluation that is not measured
- 15 using student growth and assessment data, as described under
- 16 subparagraph (i), or using the evaluation tool developed or adopted
- 17 by the school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 18 school academy, as described under subparagraph (iii), shall must
- 19 incorporate criteria enumerated in section 1248(1) (b) (i) to (iii)
- 20 that are not otherwise evaluated under subparagraph (i) or (iii).
- 21 (b) If there are student growth and assessment data available
- 22 for a teacher for at least 3 school years, the annual year-end
- 23 evaluation shall must be based on the student growth and assessment
- 24 data for the most recent 3-consecutive-school-year period. If there
- 25 are not student growth and assessment data available for a teacher
- 26 for at least 3 school years, the annual year-end evaluation shall
- 27 must be based on all student growth and assessment data that are
- 28 available for the teacher.
- 29 (c) The annual year-end evaluation shall must include specific



performance goals that will assist in improving effectiveness for the next school year and are developed by the school administrator or his or her designee conducting the evaluation, in consultation with the teacher, and any recommended training identified by the school administrator or designee, in consultation with the teacher, that would assist the teacher in meeting these goals. For a teacher described in subdivision (d), the school administrator or designee shall develop, in consultation with the teacher, an individualized development plan that includes these goals and training and is designed to assist the teacher to improve his or her effectiveness.

- (d) The performance evaluation system shall must include a midyear progress report for a teacher who is in the first year of the probationary period prescribed by section 1 of article II of 1937 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.81, or who received a rating of minimally effective or ineffective in his or her most recent annual year-end evaluation. The midyear progress report shall must be used as a supplemental tool to gauge a teacher's improvement from the preceding school year and to assist a teacher to improve. All of the following apply to the midyear progress report:
- 20 (i) The midyear progress report  $\frac{\text{shall must}}{\text{be based at least in}}$  part on student achievement.
  - (ii) The midyear progress report shall must be aligned with the teacher's individualized development plan under subdivision (c).
  - (iii) The midyear progress report shall must include specific performance goals for the remainder of the school year that are developed by the school administrator conducting the annual yearend evaluation or his or her designee and any recommended training identified by the school administrator or designee that would assist the teacher in meeting these goals. At the midyear progress



- report, the school administrator or designee shall develop, in 1
- consultation with the teacher, a written improvement plan that 2
- includes these goals and training and is designed to assist the 3
- 4 teacher to improve his or her rating.
- 5 (iv) The midyear progress report shall must not take the place of an annual year-end evaluation. 6
- 7 (e) The performance evaluation system shall must include 8 classroom observations to assist in the performance evaluations.
- 9 All of the following apply to these classroom observations:
- (i) A classroom observation shall must include a review of the 10 teacher's lesson plan and the state curriculum standard being used 11 12 in the lesson and a review of pupil engagement in the lesson.
  - (ii) A classroom observation does not have to be for an entire class period.
- (iii) Unless a teacher has received a rating of effective or 16 highly effective on his or her 2 most recent annual year-end 17 evaluations, there shall must be at least 2 classroom observations of the teacher each school year. Beginning with the 2016-2017 18 19 school year, at At least 1 observation must be unscheduled.
  - (iv) Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, the The school administrator responsible for the teacher's performance evaluation shall conduct at least 1 of the observations. Other observations may be conducted by other observers who are trained in the use of the evaluation tool that is used under subdivision (f). These other observers may be teacher leaders.
- 26 (v) Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, a A school 27 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy shall ensure that, within 30 days after each observation, the 28 29 teacher is provided with feedback from the observation.



13 14

15

20

21

22

23

24

- (f) For the purposes of conducting annual year-end evaluations 1 under the performance evaluation system, by the beginning of the 2 2016-2017 school year, the school district, intermediate school 3 district, or public school academy shall adopt and implement 1 or 4 more of the evaluation tools for teachers that are included on the 5 6 list under subsection (5). However, if a school district, 7 intermediate school district, or public school academy has 1 or 8 more local evaluation tools for teachers or modifications of an evaluation tool on the list under subsection (5), and the school 9 10 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy 11 complies with subsection (3), the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy may conduct annual year-12 end evaluations for teachers using 1 or more local evaluation tools 13 14 or modifications. The evaluation tools shall must be used 15 consistently among the schools operated by a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy so that all 16 17 similarly situated teachers are evaluated using the same evaluation tool. 18
- 19 (q) The performance evaluation system shall must assign an 20 effectiveness rating to each teacher of highly effective, 21 effective, minimally effective, or ineffective, based on his or her 22 score on the annual year-end evaluation described in this 23 subsection. A school district, intermediate school district, public 24 school academy, or any evaluator who conducts evaluations shall not 25 impose or maintain a limit on the number of teachers who may be 26 rated as highly effective.
- (h) As part of the performance evaluation system, and in
  addition to the requirements of section 1526, a school district,
  intermediate school district, or public school academy is



1 encouraged to assign a mentor or coach to each teacher who is
2 described in subdivision (d).

- (i) The performance evaluation system may allow for exemption of student growth data for a particular pupil for a school year upon the recommendation of the school administrator conducting the annual year-end evaluation or his or her designee and approval of the school district superintendent or his or her designee, intermediate superintendent or his or her designee, or chief administrator of the public school academy, as applicable.
- (j) The performance evaluation system shall must provide that, if a teacher is rated as ineffective on 3 consecutive annual yearend evaluations, the school district, public school academy, or intermediate school district, or public school academy shall dismiss the teacher from his or her employment. This subdivision does not affect the ability of a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy to dismiss a teacher from his or her employment regardless of whether the teacher is rated as ineffective on 3 consecutive annual year-end evaluations.
  - (k) The performance evaluation system shall must provide that, if a teacher is rated as highly effective on 3 consecutive annual year-end evaluations, the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy may choose to conduct a year-end evaluation biennially instead of annually. However, if a teacher is not rated as highly effective on 1 of these biennial year-end evaluations, the teacher shall again be provided with annual year-end evaluations.
- 27 (l) The performance evaluation system shall must provide that,
  28 if a teacher who is not in a probationary period prescribed by
  29 section 1 of article II of 1937 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.81, is rated



- 1 as ineffective on an annual year-end evaluation, the teacher may
- 2 request a review of the evaluation and the rating by the school
- 3 district superintendent, intermediate superintendent, or chief
- 4 administrator of the public school academy, as applicable. The
- 5 request for a review must be submitted in writing within 20 days
- 6 after the teacher is informed of the rating. Upon receipt of the
- 7 request, the school district superintendent, intermediate
- 8 superintendent, or chief administrator of the public school
- 9 academy, as applicable, shall review the evaluation and rating and
- 10 may make any modifications as appropriate based on his or her
- 11 review. However, the performance evaluation system shall must not
- 12 allow for a review as described in this subdivision more than twice
- in a 3-school-year period.
- 14 (m) Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, the The school
- 15 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy
- 16 shall provide training to teachers on the evaluation tool or tools
- 17 used by the school district, intermediate school district, or
- 18 public school academy in its performance evaluation system and on
- 19 how each evaluation tool is used. This training may be provided by
- 20 a school district, intermediate school district, or public school
- 21 academy, or by a consortium consisting of 2 or more of these.
- 22 (n) Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, a A school
- 23 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy
- 24 shall ensure that training is provided to all evaluators and
- 25 observers. The training shall must be provided by an individual who
- 26 has expertise in the evaluation tool or tools used by the school
- 27 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy 7
- 28 which that may include either a consultant on that evaluation tool
- 29 or framework or an individual who has been trained to train others



- 1 in the use of the evaluation tool or tools. This subdivision does
- 2 not prohibit a school district, intermediate school district,
- 3 public school academy, or consortium consisting of 2 or more of
- 4 these, from providing the training in the use of the evaluation
- 5 tool or tools if the trainer has expertise in the evaluation tool
- 6 or tools.
- 7 (3) Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, a A school
- 8 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy
- 9 shall post on its public website all of the following information
- 10 about the evaluation tool or tools it uses for its performance
- 11 evaluation system for teachers:
- 12 (a) The research base for the evaluation framework,
- 13 instrument, and process or, if the school district, intermediate
- 14 school district, or public school academy adapts or modifies an
- 15 evaluation tool from the list under subsection (5), the research
- 16 base for the listed evaluation tool and an assurance that the
- 17 adaptations or modifications do not compromise the validity of that
- 18 research base.
- 19 (b) The identity and qualifications of the author or authors
- 20 or, if the school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 21 school academy adapts or modifies an evaluation tool from the list
- 22 under subsection (5), the identity and qualifications of a person
- 23 with expertise in teacher evaluations who has reviewed the adapted
- 24 or modified evaluation tool.
- 25 (c) Either evidence of reliability, validity, and efficacy or
- 26 a plan for developing that evidence or, if the school district,
- 27 intermediate school district, or public school academy adapts or
- 28 modifies an evaluation tool from the list under subsection (5), an
- 29 assurance that the adaptations or modifications do not compromise



- the reliability, validity, or efficacy of the evaluation tool or
  the evaluation process.
- 3 (d) The evaluation frameworks and rubrics with detailed4 descriptors for each performance level on key summative indicators.
- (e) A description of the processes for conducting classroom
  observations, collecting evidence, conducting evaluation
  conferences, developing performance ratings, and developing
  performance improvement plans.
  - (f) A description of the plan for providing evaluators and observers with training.
  - (4) If a collective bargaining agreement was in effect for teachers or school administrators of a school district, public school school academy, or intermediate school district, or public school academy as of July 19, 2011, if that same collective bargaining agreement is still in effect as of November 5, 2015, and if that collective bargaining agreement prevents compliance with subsection (1), then subsection (1) does not apply to that school district, public school academy, or intermediate school district, or public school academy until after the expiration of that collective bargaining agreement.
  - (5) The department shall establish and maintain a list of teacher evaluation tools that have demonstrated evidence of efficacy and that may be used for the purposes of this section. That list initially shall must include at least the evaluation models recommended in the final recommendations released by the Michigan council on educator effectiveness in July 2013. The list shall must include a statement indicating that school districts, intermediate school districts, and public school academies are not limited to only using the evaluation tools that are included on the



- 1 list. A school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 2 school academy is not required to use an evaluation tool for
- 3 teacher evaluations that is the same as it uses for school
- 4 administrator evaluations or that has the same author or authors as
- 5 the evaluation tool it uses for school administrator evaluations.
- 6 The department shall promulgate rules establishing standards and
- 7 procedures for adding an evaluation tool to or removing an
- 8 evaluation tool from the list. These rules shall must include a
- 9 process for a school district, intermediate school district, or
- 10 public school academy to submit its own evaluation tool for review
- 11 for placement on the list.
- 12 (6) The training required under subsection (2) shall must be
- 13 paid for from the funds available in the educator evaluation
- 14 reserve fund created under section 95a of the state school aid act
- **15 of 1979,** MCL 388.1695a.
- 16 (7) This section does not affect the operation or
- 17 applicability of section 1248.
- 18 (8) As used in this section, "teacher" means an individual who
- 19 has a valid Michigan teaching certificate or authorization or who
- 20 is engaged to teach under section 1233b; who is employed, or
- 21 contracted for, by a school district, intermediate school district,
- 22 or public school academy; and who is assigned by the school
- 23 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy to
- 24 deliver direct instruction to pupils in any of grades K to 12 as a
- 25 teacher of record.
- 26 Enacting section 1. This amendatory act takes effect 90 days
- 27 after the date it is enacted into law.