Legislative Analysis



STATE EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM REVISIONS

Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

House Bill 4264 as introduced Sponsor: Rep. Steven Johnson Committee: Appropriations

Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

Complete to 2-23-21

SUMMARY:

House Bill 4264 would amend the State Employees' Retirement Act to do all of the following:

- Adopt layered amortization.
- Reduce the time frame over which a deficiency in the actuarially determined contribution must be paid.
- Require that the most recent mortality tables provided by the Actuarial Standards Board be used.
- Implement a reduced cap for the assumed rate of return and discount rate.

Layered Amortization

Beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22, and for each subsequent fiscal year, the bill would require the retirement system to use layered amortization with a fixed and closed period of not more than 10 years. Additionally, any layered amortization period must use level dollar amortization. The practice of layered amortization requires any new actuarial losses to be amortized separately from the existing unfunded actuarial liability (UAL). Currently, the existing UAL is amortized over a schedule ending September 30, 2038. Any actuarial losses are combined with the existing UAL and amortized over the same period.

Reconciliation

Beginning in FY 2022-23, and for each subsequent fiscal year, the bill would require the Office of Retirement Services (ORS) to certify the difference between the estimated and the actual aggregate compensation and the estimated and the actual contribution rate no less than 60 days after the end of the fiscal year. Further, the bill would require the legislature to appropriate the amount certified by ORS in the following fiscal year. Current law amortizes this amount over five years, with interest, beginning with the second fiscal year following the certification.

Mortality Tables

Beginning in FY 2021-22, and for each subsequent fiscal year, the bill would require actuarial equivalent retirement allowance to be determined by using an assumed rate of return and discount rate not to exceed 6.7% and the most recent mortality assumptions provided by the Actuarial Standards Board and adopted as risk assumptions by the actuary. Current law requires the use of an interest rate determined by the retirement board and mortality tables adopted by DTMB and the retirement board. Mortality tables are updated through an experience study conducted every five years.

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 3

Reduced Cap for Assumed Rate of Return and Discount Rate

The bill would revise the investment rate of return and discount rate used by the retirement system to determine total system liability from 8% to a cap of 6.7%. According to the 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), the State Employee Retirement System currently uses a 6.7% rate of return and discount rate for the pension and 6.9% for other post-employment benefits (OPEB). Under the current dedicated gains policy, the assumed rate of return and discount rate may go down but will not increase in future years.

Beginning in FY 2021-22, the bill would change the deferred life annuity factor used in calculating the amount to be deposited in a tax-deferred account upon separation for an individual who opted out of health insurance coverage premium by incorporating the 6.7% assumed rate of return and discount rate, an average annual premium increase of 6%, and the most recent mortality assumptions provided by the Actuarial Standards Board and adopted as risk assumptions by the actuary. Current law requires an assumed rate of return or discount rate of 8% (6.7% is currently used), an average annual premium increase of 4%, and mortality rates based on a 50% male and 50% female blend of the 1994 group annuity mortality table.

MCL 38.20g et seq.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Generally speaking, the bill likely would increase near-term budgetary cost pressures by creating higher upfront payments for the state relative to current estimates but generate longer-term net savings for the state and system overall. The combination of the shorter time frame over which any new actuarial loss would be amortized (10-year layered amortization using level dollar), reducing the time frame over which a deficiency in the actuarially determined contribution must be paid, reducing the assumed rate of return cap, and requiring that the most recent mortality tables provided by the Actuarial Standards Board be used could require increased allocations to the retirement system when compared to current projections in the near term. The magnitude of the near-term budgetary cost pressures and longer-term net savings would depend on system experience. Each component is explained in more detail below.

The bill would require any new annual actuarial loss to be amortized over rolling 10-year time periods using level dollar amortization, separate from the current UAL amortization schedule (ending September 30, 2038). Reducing the amortization schedule and utilizing level dollar amortization for these new actuarial losses would increase annual payments upfront compared to current law but generate net savings overall because the funds would be deposited into the system earlier, thereby generating returns. Under the bill, layered amortization would lengthen the amortization period of any actuarial losses after FY 2027-28 relative to current law, potentially reducing costs in those years, but spreading actuarial losses beyond the current amortization horizon. It is unclear how negative UAL would be treated.

House Fiscal Agency HB 4264 as introduced Page 2 of 3

Reducing the time frame over which any deficiency in the actuarially determined contribution must be paid would also have the practical effect of creating higher upfront payments in years when there is a deficiency because currently any deficiencies are amortized over a five-year period with interest. In the longer term, the system would realize net savings.

Revising the assumed rate of return and discount rate to 6.7% would increase near-term costs related to OPEB because the current rate is 6.9%. Similar to other changes, the net effect would be long-term savings for the state.

Lastly, updating the mortality tables on a more regular basis would have an unknown fiscal impact. The retirement system updates mortality tables every five years through an experience study. Any fiscal impact would depend on the mortality tables required to be used under the bill and the mortality tables used in the most recent experience study.

Fiscal Analyst: Ben Gielczyk

House Fiscal Agency HB 4264 as introduced Page 3 of 3

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.