

**INCOME TAX ACT OF 1967 (EXCERPT)**  
**Act 281 of 1967**

\*\*\*\*\* 206.667.added THIS ADDED SECTION IS EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2012; THIS ADDED SECTION IS AMENDED EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2012: See 206.667.amended \*\*\*\*\*

**206.667.added Alternative to apportionment provisions of part; rebuttable presumption; filing of return.**

Sec. 667. (1) If the apportionment provisions of this part do not fairly represent the extent of the taxpayer's business activity in this state, the taxpayer may petition for or the treasurer may require the following, with respect to all or a portion of the taxpayer's business activity, if reasonable:

- (a) Separate accounting.
- (b) The inclusion of 1 or more additional or alternative factors that will fairly represent the taxpayer's business activity in this state.
- (c) The use of any other method to effectuate an equitable allocation and apportionment of the taxpayer's tax base.
- (2) An alternate method may be used only if it is approved by the department.
- (3) The apportionment provisions of this part shall be rebuttably presumed to fairly represent the business activity attributed to the taxpayer in this state, taken as a whole and without a separate examination of the specific elements of the tax base unless it can be demonstrated that the business activity attributed to the taxpayer in this state is out of all appropriate proportion to the actual business activity transacted in this state and leads to a grossly distorted result or would operate unconstitutionally to tax the extraterritorial activity of the taxpayer.
- (4) The filing of a return or an amended return is not considered a petition for the purposes of subsection (1).

**History:** Add. 2011, Act 38, Eff. Jan. 1, 2012.