

THE STATE SCHOOL AID ACT OF 1979 (EXCERPT)
Act 94 of 1979

***** 388.1622j.added THIS ADDED SECTION IS EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 2012 *****

388.1622j.added Incentive payments for student performance.

Sec. 22j. (1) From the appropriation in section 11, there is allocated for 2012-2013 an amount not to exceed \$30,000,000.00 to provide separate incentive payments to districts that meet student academic performance funding goals under subsections (2) to (5). Payments received under this section may be used for any purpose for which payments under sections 22a and 22b may be used.

(2) The maximum amount of the incentive payment for student academic performance is an amount equal to \$100.00 per pupil. Payments calculated and awarded to qualifying districts under subsections (3) to (5) shall be calculated and awarded separately, and a district may receive a payment under any or all of subsections (3) to (5).

(3) An amount not to exceed 30% of the maximum per pupil amount allocated under subsection (2) shall be used to make performance incentive payments to qualifying districts under this subsection based on pupil performance on state assessments in mathematics in grades 3 to 8. The amount of a payment under this subsection is an amount equal to \$30.00 per pupil for all pupils in membership in a qualifying district. The department shall determine the qualifying districts under this subsection as follows:

(a) Using a model determined by the department that incorporates the most recent cut scores adopted for the Michigan educational assessment program for each pupil in grades 3 to 8 in the 2010-2011 school year, the department shall calculate a point score using a metric that assigns points to each of those pupils as follows:

(i) For each pupil who began the school year not performing proficiently in mathematics and who declines in proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 0 points.

(ii) For each pupil who began the school year performing proficiently in mathematics and declines in proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 0 points.

(iii) For each pupil who began the school year not performing proficiently in mathematics and who maintains his or her level of proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 1 point.

(iv) For each pupil who began the school year performing proficiently in mathematics and who maintains his or her level of proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 2 points.

(v) For each pupil who began the school year not performing proficiently in mathematics and who improves in proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 3 points.

(vi) For each pupil who began the school year performing proficiently in mathematics and who improves in proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 2 points.

(b) The department shall then calculate a district average for this metric for the 2010-2011 school year by totaling the number of points for all pupils in grades 3 to 8 under subdivision (a) and dividing that total by the number of those pupils.

(c) A district is a qualifying district for the payment under this subsection if the district average for the 2010-2011 school year under subdivision (b) is at least equal to a factor of 1.5, and the district tested at least 95% of its pupils in mathematics, and the district had at least 30 full academic year pupils in grades 3 to 8 with a performance level change designation in mathematics.

(4) An amount not to exceed 30% of the maximum per pupil amount allocated under subsection (2) shall be used to make performance incentive payments to qualifying districts under this subsection based on pupil performance on state assessments in reading in grades 3 to 8. The amount of a payment under this subsection is an amount equal to \$30.00 per pupil for all pupils in membership in the district. The department shall determine the qualifying districts under this subsection as follows:

(a) Using a model determined by the department that incorporates the most recent cut scores adopted for the Michigan educational assessment program for each pupil in grades 3 to 8 in the 2010-2011 school year, the department shall calculate a point score using a metric that assigns points to each of those pupils as follows:

(i) For each pupil who began the school year not performing proficiently in reading and who declines in proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 0 points.

(ii) For each pupil who began the school year performing proficiently in reading and declines in proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 0 points.

(iii) For each pupil who began the school year not performing proficiently in reading and who maintains proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 1 point.

(iv) For each pupil who began the school year performing proficiently in reading and who maintains

proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 2 points.

(v) For each pupil who began the school year not performing proficiently in reading and who improves in proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 3 points.

(vi) For each pupil who began the school year performing proficiently in reading and who improves in proficiency, as determined by the department, over the school year, 2 points.

(b) The department shall then calculate a district average for this metric for the 2010-2011 school year by totaling the number of points for all pupils in grades 3 to 8 under subdivision (a) and dividing that total by the number of those pupils.

(c) A district is a qualifying district for the payment under this subsection if the district average for the 2010-2011 school year under subdivision (b) is at least equal to a factor of 1.5, and the district tested at least 95% of its pupils in reading, and the district had at least 30 full academic year pupils in grades 3 to 8 reading with a performance level change designation in reading.

(5) An amount not to exceed 40% of the maximum per pupil amount allocated under subsection (2) shall be used to make performance incentive payments to qualifying districts under this subsection for high school improvement using a metric based on the positive trend over a 4-year period in the percentage of high school pupils in the district testing as proficient in all tested subject areas on the state assessments of high school pupils. The amount of a payment under this subsection is an amount equal to \$40.00 per pupil for all pupils in membership in the district. The department shall determine the qualifying districts under this subsection as follows:

(a) Calculate a linear regression of the percentage of high school pupils in the district testing as proficient in all tested subject areas on state assessments of high school pupils on school year over the 4-year period ending with the 2010-2011 school year as adjusted for changes in cut scores most recently adopted for the Michigan merit examination.

(b) Calculate a statewide average for all districts operating a high school of the linear regression of the percentage of high school pupils testing as proficient in all tested subject areas on state assessments of high school pupils on school year over the 4-year period ending with the 2010-2011 school year, as adjusted for changes in cut scores most recently adopted for the Michigan merit examination as the base year for all comparisons.

(c) A district is a qualifying district for the payment under this subsection if the district's linear regression over the 4-year period ending with the 2010-2011 school year under subdivision (a) is at least equal to the statewide average linear regression over the 4-year period ending with the base year under subdivision (b), and the district's linear regression over the 4-year period ending with the 2010-2011 school year under subdivision (a) is positive, and the district tested 95% of high school pupils in each tested subject on the Michigan merit examination, and the district had at least 20 full academic year pupils take all tested subjects on the Michigan merit examination over each of the most recent 4 years.

(6) If the allocation under subsection (1) is insufficient to fully fund payments as otherwise calculated under this section, the department shall prorate payments under this section on an equal percentage basis.

History: Add. 2012, Act 201, Eff. Oct. 1, 2012.